An Integrated School Improvement Plan for

West Central Elementary School District #235

July 1, 2012 – June 30, 2013

Completed February 2012

# West Central Elementary



#### TABLE OF CONTENTS

| I.   | INTRODUCTION AND BACKGROUND   | 3        |
|------|---|----------|
|      | I.1 SCHOOL COMMUNITY  | 3        |
|      | I.2 SCHOOL IMPROVEMENT TEAM   | 5        |
|      | TABLE 1: SCHOOL IMPROVEMENT TEAM  | 5        |
|      | I.3 OTHER INFORMATION   | 6        |
|      | I.4 NO CHILD LEFT BEHIND LEGISLATION  | 6        |
| II.  | DATA COLLECTION, ORGANIZATION AND TRENDS                                      | 6        |
| 11.  | II. 1 DATA COLLECTION METHODS   | 6        |
|      | TABLE 2: DATA COLLECTION METHODS  | 7        |
|      | II. 2 DISTRICT ASSESSMENT DATA  | 7        |
|      | TABLE 3: DISTRICT ISAT/PSAE/ACT PROFILE                                       | 7        |
|      | II. 2 A SCHOOL BASED ASSESSMENT DATA  | 8        |
|      | TABLE 4A: ISAT – ALL STUDENTS   | 9        |
|      | TABLE 4A: ISAT – ALL STUDENTS  TABLE 4B: ISAT – SP ED/LOW INCOME SUBGROUP     | 10       |
|      | TABLE 4C: ISAT – SF EDICOW INCOME SUBGROUPS                                   | 11       |
|      | TABLE 40: ISAT - FEMALE SUBGROUPS   | 12       |
|      | TABLE 4E: ISAT – MALE SUBGROUPS   | 13       |
|      | TABLE 4F: QUARTER REPORT CARD GRADES  | 14       |
|      | TABLE 4G: ISEL ASSESSMENT SCORES  | 15       |
|      | TABLE 4H: STAR READING ASSESSMENT GRADE PLACEMENT                             | 17       |
|      | TABLE 41: STAR READING  | 18       |
|      | TABLE 4J: AIMSWEB FLUENCY ASSESSMENTS   | 19       |
|      | OVERALL ASSESSMENT OBSERVATIONS   | 21       |
|      | II. 3 DEMOGRAPHIC DATA  | 22       |
|      | TABLE 5: GENERAL SCHOOL DATA (SCHOOL REPORT CARD)                             | 22       |
|      | TABLE 6: ENROLLMENT DATA (FALL HOUSING REPORT)                                | 23       |
|      | TABLE 7: SPECIAL EDUCATION STUDENT SUBGROUP ENROLLMENT                        | 24<br>25 |
|      | DEMOGRAPHIC COMPARISONS AND TRENDS  |          |
|      | II. 4 PROGRAM DATA  | 25<br>25 |
|      | TABLE 8: EDUCATOR DATA  TABLE 9: AMERICA OF DATA WANDLITTES DISTRICTION       | 25<br>26 |
|      | TABLE 9: AVERAGE DAILY MINUTES INSTRUCTION TABLE 10: PROFESSIONAL GROWTH DATA | 20<br>28 |
|      | TABLE 11: STUDENT DISCIPLINE DATA   | 29       |
|      | SPECIAL EDUCATION, MATH, AND ENGLISH AUDIT                                    | 31       |
|      | CURRICULUM IMPLEMENTATION DATA  | 32       |
|      | PROGRAM COMPARISON AND TRENDS   | 34       |
|      | II. 5 PERCEPTION DATA   | 36       |
|      | TABLE 12: PARENT SURVEY   | 36       |
|      | TABLE 13: STAFF SURVEY  | 37       |
| III. | PROBLEM STATEMENTS AND HYPOTHESES   | 38       |
|      | TABLE 14: PATTERNS OF STRENGTHS AND PROBLEMS                                  | 38       |
|      | TABLE 15: PROBLEM STATEMENTS  | 41       |
| IV.  | GOALS, STRATEGIES, INTEGRATED ACTION PLAN                                     | 43       |
|      | TABLE 16: IMPROVEMENT GOALS   | 43       |
|      | TABLE 17: IMPLEMENTATION OF ACTIVITIES SCHEDULE                               | 49       |
|      | TABLE 18: PROFESSIONAL DEVELOPMENTAL ACTIVITIES                               | 50       |
| V.   | REFLECTION, EVALUATION, REFINEMENT  | 51       |
|      | V.1 SCHOOL IMPROVEMENT TEAM MEETING SCHEDULE                                  | 51       |
|      | V.2 MONITORING  | 51       |
|      | V.3 COMMUNICATION PLAN  | 51       |

#### I. INTRODUCTION AND BACKGROUND

#### I.1 SCHOOL COMMUNITY

#### **Boundaries**

The West Central School District consists of 298.7 square miles. The most distal points from north to south would be approximately 26 miles and from east to west about 18 miles. The western border of the school district is the Mississippi River. Townships (located in Henderson County) served by the West Central School District are Bald Bluff, Biggsville, Carman, Gladstone, Lomax, Media, Oquawka, Raritan, Rozetta, Stronghurst, and Terre Haute. Townships (located in Warren County) served by the West Central School District include Ellison, Point Pleasant and Tompkins. Blandinsville Township (located in McDonough County) is also served by the West Central School District.

#### **School History**

West Central School District is a newly consolidated district (6<sup>th</sup> year) approved by a large majority of voters in the November general elections of 2004. The district was formed by the consolidation of the former Southern School District #120 and the former Union School District #115 and became officially known as the West Central School District #235 on July 1, 2005. Pupil attendance centers were decided by the West Central School Board to be:

| Pre K  | Media       |
|--------|-------------|
| K-5    | Biggsville  |
| 6 - 8  | Stronghurst |
| 9 - 12 | Biggsville  |

#### **School Transportation**

All of the elementary students are transported by district school buses. Some of the students spend just over an hour each way to school. Shuttle buses are utilized to transport students from campus to campus before and after school, as well as for after school activities.

#### **Facilities**

West Central Elementary School is opened in the fall of 1991. It currently serves the students in Grades K - 5<sup>th</sup>. West Central elementary students share a common music room and library with the high school. New lighting and a new parking lot were installed during the summer of 2006. Improvements in the ventilation system were made in the fall of 2006. A new phone system with phones in each classroom was installed in the fall of 2006. The former Science lab was divided into two classrooms during the summer of 2007. Wireless capability for technological equipment was added during the summer of 2010.

#### **School Community**

Based on the 2011 September Fall Housing report, West Central Elementary School serves 291 families and a total of 375 students. There are 199 male students and 176 female students. Kindergarten consists of 46 students, 63 first graders, 69 second graders, 60 third graders, 61 fourth graders, and 76 fifth graders. The average classroom has 17.7 students. 45 students have an Individual The Individual Education Plan includes direct instruction, speech, hearing, occupational therapy, and tutorial services. 43 of the 45 students receive speech services. 15 students receive instruction from Special Education classroom teachers or have modified instructional plans. 55% of our students qualify for free or reduced lunch. Students are served by 21 classroom teachers, two full-time Special Education teachers, and three Title I teachers. Fine Arts instruction includes one Art teacher, two Music instructors (shared with the middle school and the high school), and three Physical Education instructors (two of these are also shared with the high school). One Librarian is shared between the elementary and the high school. One Speech instructor serves pre-school and elementary students needs. One school Psychologist and a school Nurse serve the needs of the district. One part-time Social Worker, Behavioral Specialist, and Occupational Therapist serves the needs of the district. There are nine full-time associates and six part-time Title I associates. Full-time associates serve as one-on-one aides, computer lab aide, special education classroom aides, or Library aides. There are two full-time custodians and two part time custodians. One maintenance position is

shared with the high school. Two staff members support the entire technology needs of the district. Administrative services are provided by two full-time administrator and two secretaries. Food services are provided by seven cooks, which serve both the needs of the high school and elementary.

#### After School Program

West Central School District works with an individual to provide after school care to 12 students. It utilizes classroom space and gymnasium space in the elementary facility.

#### **School Strength**

#### • Teacher-Pupil Ratio

West Central Elementary has maintained a low teacher-to-pupil ratio. The average class contains slightly less than 18 students.

#### • Met Requirements Under Federal Law No Child Left Behind for Math

West Central Elementary met all the academic requirements as determined by the State of Illinois and rules and regulations of the federal government program No Child Left Behind in Math. The benchmark for students in March 2011 was that at least 85% of students in Grades  $3^{rd} - 5^{th}$  would meet or exceed on the Illinois Standard Assessment Test (ISAT) in Math. The 2011 percentage of students that met or exceeded the academic requirements in math was 93.5%. The 2010 percentage of students that met or exceeded the academic requirements in math was 93.6%.

#### • Strong Emphasis On Reading

A strong emphasis on Reading is being made in the elementary. Accelerated Reader is encouraged by all staff with quarterly reward celebrations. There are over 25,000 books in the library and most have Accelerated Reader tests. Each classroom also has a collection of books available to the students. Many staff development opportunities have occurred in the area of Language Arts. The federally funded Title I program provides additional support to the Reading program by providing support and resources to strengthen classroom instruction. Title teachers do screening and diagnostic testing on a regular basis. The analysis of these tests provides focus for the teacher's instruction.

The District has made a strong commitment to the reading interventions that have been implemented through a program called Response to Intervention. Time and staffing has been provided to assist students that are struggling with reading and math concepts. Software programs such as Lexia and Reading Plus are being used to provide additional instruction and to monitor progress. AIMSweb fluency and Six Minute Fluency are also being utilized.

#### • Communication With Parents

A communication system, Connect Ed, is a phone and text messaging system that allows for instant communication with parents. An internet based software system called Skyward allows for parents to access their child's homework and test scores. Skyward also allows parents to monitor from home or work, their child's lunch and breakfast accounts. Homework Hotline is available for parent call-in concerning information on assignments and upcoming events in the classroom. A software system purchased through Renaissance Learning allows for parents to monitor progress with Accelerated Reading through the internet. The District also provides general, regular updates through the District Web Page. The Heat Index is published monthly and also provides parents with articles and pictures detailing events in the building and district. The District Annual Report is another method the district uses to provide information to parents and stakeholders regarding the districts academic successes.

#### Staff with Advanced Degrees or National Recognition

Eleven members of the Elementary Teaching Staff have their Master Degrees. Four Teachers have earned their National Board Certification since 2010.

#### **School Challenges**

#### Technology

We need to continually update our technology to maintain and improve our current level of educational opportunities in the areas of Reading and Mathematics. According to the 2011 parent survey, 16% of our parents (170 out of 291 families responded to the survey) do not have a home computer with internet access.

#### Scores of Students on the Illinois Standards Achievement Test

The benchmark scores for schools meeting the requirements of No Child Left Behind continue to rise. The threshold to meet the requirements is 92.5% of our students and subgroups will meet or exceed in exceed in Spring 2012 and the Spring of 2013. West Central Elementary did not meet the requirements for reading on the test administered in Spring 2011. 80% of the students met or exceeded in reading and the target score was 85% to meet or exceed. West Central Elementary did score above the state average in reading. Continued educational experiences need to be provided in order to provide the skills necessary to meet the Illinois Learning Standards as required by No Child Left Behind rules and regulations.

#### A Difficult Economic Climate and Declining Enrollment

The Elementary enrollment, based on the Fall Housing Report, has declined by 78 students during the past seven years. There has been a decline observed in the District as well. In the Fall of 2006, the district enrollment was at 998 students, and in September 2011, the district enrollment declined to 918, (not including the Pre-School students). The 2010 census indicates Henderson Counties' population dropped by 10.4%, from 8213 people to 7331 people. Additionally, difficult funding issues faced by the State of Illinois will result in limited financial resources for the school district, impacting us for several years.

#### I.2 SCHOOL IMPROVEMENT TEAM

School Improvement Team members are volunteers. Each member will serve a minimum of three years. Following the initial term, members will be replaced on a rotation schedule. New members will also be volunteers. All certified staff serve on either the SIP team or a subcommittee.

Table 1: School Improvement Team

| TEAM<br>MEMBER           | Position               | ROLE           | LENGTH OF<br>SERVICE       | # OF YEARS<br>ON TEAM |
|--------------------------|------------------------|----------------|----------------------------|-----------------------|
| Mrs. Tara Hanson         | Principal              | Team<br>Member | Constant                   | 2                     |
| Mrs. Emily Klossing      | 2 <sup>nd</sup> Grade  | Team<br>Member | 2009-2013                  | 4                     |
| Mrs. Jesse Winters       | Title I                | Team<br>Member | 2009-2013                  | 4                     |
| Mrs. Charlotte Ackermann | Kindergarten           | Team<br>Member | 2010 - 2013                | 3                     |
| Mrs. Lynn Ragar          | 3 <sup>rd</sup> Grade  | Team<br>Member | 2006 - 2009<br>2010 - 2013 | 6                     |
| Mrs. Julie Ricketts      | Title I                | Team<br>Member | 2010 – 2013                | 3                     |
| Mrs. Laura Lewis         | Special<br>Education   | Team<br>Member | 2008 - 2011<br>2012 - 2014 | 5                     |
| Mrs. Kathy Lafary        | Dean<br>Of<br>Students | Team<br>Member | Constant                   | 2                     |

Sub-committees organized to support the School Improvement Leadership Team are:

- Parent Survey Mrs. Ackermann, Mrs. Lewis, Mr. Ricketts, Mrs. Wolf, Ms. Hicks
- Staff Survey Mrs. Ackermann, Mrs. Lewis, Mr. Ricketts, Mrs. Wolf, Ms. Hicks
- Open House/School Kick Off- Ms. Johnson, Mrs. Ragar, Mr. Ricketts, Mrs. Nelson, Mrs. Lumbeck
- Movie Night/Family Literacy Mrs. Ackermann, Mrs. Neira, Mrs. Ford, Mr. Freiley
- PTC -Mrs. Carnes, Mrs. Mills, Mrs. Winters, Mrs. Neira, Mrs. Thompson,

- PBIS Mr. Burrell, Mrs. Carnes, Mrs. Ford, Mrs. Kelly, Mrs. Lewis, Mrs. Mills, Mrs. Ricketts, Mrs. Thompson, Mrs. Wolf, Mrs. Anderson
- Public Relations Mr. Freiley, Mrs. Meier, Mrs. Wright, Mrs. Ricketts
- Parent Reading Mrs. Hennenfent, Mrs. Klossing

#### Response to Intervention Team

• Mrs. Hanson, Mrs. Hennenfent, Mrs. Lafary, Mrs. Wright, Mrs. Lewis

#### Teacher Assistant Team

• Mrs. Lafary, Mrs. Lumbeck, Mr. Ricketts, Mrs. Winters, Mr. Hart

#### I.3 OTHER INFORMATION

During the 2012-2013 school year, staff development time and resources will be utilized to prepare for the implementation of the new Common Core Standards. These new standards are a new nationwide set of standards that 48 states have endorsed to better prepare students for college and entering the work force upon graduation from high school.

#### I.4 NO CHILD LEFT BEHIND LEGISLATION

No Child Left Behind (NCLB) became a federal law on January 8, 2002. The act reauthorizes the Elementary and Secondary Education Act of 1964. This reform set standards for student achievement and holds students and educators accountable for results. No Child Left Behind is designed to help all students meet high academic standards. States disaggregate data for students by poverty, race/ethnicities, disabilities, and limited English proficiencies to ensure that no child – regardless of his or her background – is left behind. The State of Illinois has established benchmark goals that increase each year (defined as Adequate Yearly Progress) for reading and math, and this is measured by the Illinois Standards Achievement Test (ISAT) for Grades 3-8, and the ACT/Prairie State Test for Grade 11.

```
Goal for Spring of 2012—92.5% of students meet or exceed Goal for Spring of 2013—92.5% of students meet or exceed Goal for Spring of 2013—100% of students meet or exceed
```

These goals drive our instruction for our students. Through Response to Intervention (RtI) we are able to provide individual interventions to assist students in meeting these goals. Our PBIS program provides incentives for students to follow our behavioral expectations. When students follow behavioral expectations they are able to spend more time in the classroom therefore receiving the instruction they need to succeed academically.

#### II. DATA COLLECTION, ORGANIZATION AND TRENDS

#### II.1 DATA COLLECTION METHODS

The school improvement team members surveyed parents and staff in order to assess their attitudes on a number of school issues. Staff was surveyed at their leisure and parents were surveyed during their school visit for fall parent/teacher conferences. School report cards and the fall housing reports were used to obtain data that had been reported to the state. ISEL is a Reading assessment used with Grades K, 1<sup>st</sup> and 2<sup>nd</sup>. ISAT is the state assessment used to assess student progress in 3<sup>rd</sup>, 4<sup>th</sup>, and 5<sup>th</sup> Grades in the areas of Reading, Math, and 4<sup>th</sup> Grade in Science. STAR Reading is used to assess instructional Reading level in 1<sup>st</sup> - 5<sup>th</sup> Grade. Exit Outcomes for each grade level are used as a reference document. AIMSweb is an assessment program based on direct and continuous student assessments in fluency and comprehension. It is based on scientific Reading research and sound classroom practice and is administered to students individually.

#### Table 2:

#### **Data Collection Methods**

#### 06 - 10 Methods

| ТүрЕ               | Number | TITLE   | TIME FRAME   | TOTAL<br>RESPONSE<br>RATE            | PURPOSE                           |
|--------------------|--------|---|--|--------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| Parent Survey      | 1      | Parent  | Oct 07<br>Oct 08<br>Nov 09<br>Oct 10<br>Oct 11   | 127<br>133<br>95<br>209<br>170       | To survey parent attitudes        |
| Staff Survey       | 1      | Staff   | Jan 07<br>Jan 08<br>Jan 09<br>Nov 10<br>Nov 11   | 44<br>32<br>78<br>54<br>48           | To survey staff attitudes         |
| Staff Observations | 11     | Observations<br>based on Tables                       | Fall 07<br>Fall 08<br>Fall 09<br>January 11<br>January 12  |                                      | To interpret data                 |
| Documents          | 3      | School Report Cards Fall Housing Report Exit Outcomes | Fall 07<br>Fall 08<br>Fall 09<br>Fall 10<br>Fall 11  |                                      | To compare school data            |
| Formal Assessments | 3      | ISAT<br>ISEL<br>STAR Reading<br>Aimsweb               | Mar 06 - 10<br>Fall/Spring 05 - 10<br>Fall/Spring 06 – 10<br>Fall/Spring 09-10<br>Fall/Spring 10-11<br>Fall/Spring 11-12 | 100%<br>100%<br>100%<br>100%<br>100% | To assess<br>academic<br>progress |

#### II.2 DISTRICT ASSESSMENT DATA

Table 3:

#### District ISAT/PSAE/ACT Profile West Central CUSD #235

% of students who meet or exceed standards

|                             | West<br>Central | West<br>Central | West<br>Central | West<br>Central | West<br>Central | West<br>Central |
|-----------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
|                             | 2006            | 2007            | 2008            | 2009            | 2010            | 2011            |
| AYP set by<br>NCLB          | 47.5%           | 55%             | 62.5%           | 70%             | 77.5%           | 85%             |
| 3rd Grade – All             |                 |                 |                 |                 |                 |                 |
| Reading                     | 65%             | 62%             | 69%             | 70%             | 84%             | 73%             |
| Math                        | 89%             | 86%             | 84%             | 82%             | 93%             | 95%             |
| Writing                     |                 |                 |                 | 52%             | 44%             | No test         |
| 4 <sup>th</sup> Grade – All |                 |                 |                 |                 |                 |                 |
| Reading                     | 79%             | 74%             | 79%             | 81%             | 75%             | 89%             |
| Math                        | 91%             | 91%             | 96%             | 95%             | 93%             | 100%            |
| Science                     | 92%             | 83%             | 87%             | 91%             | 82%             | 92%             |
| 5 <sup>th</sup> Grade – All |                 |                 |                 |                 |                 |                 |
| Reading                     | 74%             | 79%             | 79%             | 72%             | 85%             | 78%             |
| Math                        | 86%             | 90%             | 92%             | 88%             | 93%             | 87%             |
| Writing                     |                 | 42%             | 43%             | 70%             | 67%             | No test         |
| 6 <sup>th</sup> Grade – All |                 |                 |                 |                 |                 |                 |
| Reading                     | 81%             | 75%             | 95%             | 79%             | 77%             | 92%             |
| Math                        | 80%             | 76%             | 91%             | 81%             | 91%             | 90%             |
| Writing                     |                 |                 | 63%             | 66%             | 68%             | No test         |

| 7 <sup>th</sup> Grade – All  |      |      |      |       |       |         |
|------------------------------|------|------|------|-------|-------|---------|
| Reading                      | 68%  | 76%  | 76%  | 86%   | 77%   | 77%     |
| Math                         | 76%  | 81%  | 79%  | 89%   | 82%   | 88%     |
| Science                      | 81%  | 91%  | 85%  | 89%   | 81%   | 87%     |
| 8 <sup>th</sup> Grade – All  |      |      |      |       |       |         |
| Reading                      | 75%  | 74%  | 82%  | 84%   | 82%   | 82%     |
| Math                         | 65%  | 65%  | 75%  | 81%   | 82%   | 76%     |
| Writing                      |      | 61%  | 67%  | 60%   | 69%   | No test |
| 11 <sup>th</sup> Grade – All |      |      |      |       |       |         |
| Reading                      | 52%  | 46%  | 56%  | 38%   | 53%   | 57%     |
| Math                         | 35%  | 31%  | 37%  | 24%   | 37%   | 45%     |
| Writing                      |      |      | 54%  | 44%   | 53%   | 56%     |
| Science                      | 43%  | 45%  | 47%  | 35%   | 47%   | 43%     |
| ACT Summary                  |      |      |      |       |       |         |
| Composite                    | 17.9 | 18.4 | 18.9 | 17.7  | 19.0  | 20.2    |
| English                      | 17.1 | 18.5 | 18.6 | 17.0  | 18.7  | 19.9    |
| Math                         | 17.5 | 17.3 | 17.7 | 16.9  | 18.6  | 19.3    |
| Reading                      | 18.0 | 18.5 | 19.3 | 18.2  | 19.1  | 20.7    |
| Science Reasoning            | 18.5 | 18.8 | 19.0 | 18.0  | 19.0  | 20.1    |
| Percent Test ACT             | 100% | 100% | 100% | 98.6% | 97.4% | 100%    |

#### Observations for 2011 - 2012

- Adequate Yearly Progress, as determined by No Child Left Behind, required passing percentage
  has increased from 47.5% of students meeting or exceeding in 06 to 85% meeting or exceeding in
  2011
- All 3<sup>rd</sup> 7<sup>th</sup> Grades tested met Adequate Yearly Progress each year in Math as determined by No Child Left Behind.
- Writing was not assessed by the State of Illinois in 2011.
- The percentage of students that meet or exceed in 3<sup>rd</sup> Grade Reading has improved by 5% points during the last six years.
- The percentage of students that meet or exceed in 3<sup>rd</sup> Grade Math has increased by 2% points from 2010 to 2011.
- The percentage of students that meet or exceeded in 4<sup>th</sup> Grade reading has increased by 5% points in the last three years.
- The percentage of students that meet or exceeded in 4<sup>th</sup> Grade math has increased by 5% points in the last three years.
- The percentage of students that meet or exceeded in 4<sup>th</sup> Grade science has increased by 10% points in the last three years.
- The percentage of students that meet or exceed 5<sup>th</sup> Grade Reading scores declined by 7% points from the preceding year.
- The percentage of students that meet or exceed on the 5<sup>th</sup> Grade Math scores declined by 6% points from the preceding year.
- Students that were assessed in 3<sup>rd</sup> Grade in 2010, then again in 4<sup>th</sup> Grade in 2011, improved the percentage that met or exceeded in the Reading scores by 5% points.
- Students that were assessed in 3<sup>rd</sup> Grade in 2010, then again in 4<sup>th</sup> Grade in 2011, improved the percentage that met or exceeded in the Math scores by 7% points.
- Students that were assessed in 4<sup>th</sup> Grade in 2010, then again in 5<sup>th</sup> Grade in 2011, improved in the percentage that met or exceeded Reading scores by 3% points.
- Students that were assessed in 4<sup>th</sup> Grade in 2010, then again in 5<sup>th</sup> Grade in 2011, experienced a decline in the percentage that met or exceeded Math scores by 6% points.
- Students that were assessed in 5<sup>th</sup> Grade in 2010, then again in 6<sup>th</sup> Grade in 2011, experienced an increase in Reading scores by 7% points.
- Students that were assessed in 5<sup>th</sup> Grade in 2010, then again in 6<sup>th</sup> Grade in 2011, experienced an decline in the percentage that met or exceeded Math scores by 3% points.
- Students that were assessed in 6<sup>th</sup> Grade in 2010 in reading, then again in 7<sup>th</sup> Grade in 2011, scores remained the same.

- Students that were assessed in 6<sup>th</sup> Grade in 2010, then again in 7<sup>th</sup> Grade in 2011, experienced a decline in the percentage that met or exceeded Math scores by 3% point.
- Students that were assessed in 7<sup>th</sup> Grade in 2010, then again in 8<sup>th</sup> Grade in 2011, experienced an increase the percentage that met or exceeded Reading scores by 5% points.
- Students that were assessed in 7<sup>th</sup> Grade in 2010, then again in 8<sup>th</sup> Grade in 2011, decreased the percentage that met or exceeded Math scores by 6% points.
- ACT Composite scores have increased by 2.3 points since 2006.

#### II.2A: SCHOOL BASED ASSESSMENT DATA

#### Table 4A:

## ISAT All Students ADEQUATE YEARLY PROGRESS DATA BASED ON ISAT MEETS and EXCEEDS West Central CUSD #235

State average percentages for comparable groups are the second number

|                                   | 2006<br>WC/State<br>Avg. | 2007<br>WC/State<br>Avg. | 2008<br>WC/State<br>Avg. | 2009<br>WC/State<br>Avg. | 2010<br>WC/State<br>Avg. | 2011<br>WC/State<br>Avg. |
|-----------------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|
| Adequate Yearly<br>Progress Goals | 47.5%                    | 55%                      | 62.5%                    | 70%                      | 77.5%                    | 85%                      |
| 3 <sup>rd</sup> Grade – All       |                          |                          |                          |                          |                          |                          |
| Reading                           | 65%/89%                  | 62%/73%                  | 69%/72%                  | 70%/72%                  | 84%/74%                  | 73%/75%                  |
| Math                              | 89%/86%                  | 86%/87%                  | 84%/85%                  | 82%/85%                  | 93%/86%                  | 95%/87%                  |
| Writing                           |                          |                          |                          | 52%/62%                  | 44%/64%                  | No test                  |
| 4 <sup>th</sup> Grade – All       |                          |                          |                          |                          |                          |                          |
| Reading                           | 79%/73%                  | 75%/74%                  | 79%/73%                  | 81%/74%                  | 75%/74%                  | 89%/75%                  |
| Math                              | 91%/85%                  | 91%/86%                  | 96%/85%                  | 95%/86%                  | 93%/86%                  | 100%/88%                 |
| Science                           | 92%/80%                  | 83%/80%                  | 87%/76%                  | 91%/77%                  | 82%/77%                  | 89%/79%                  |
| 5 <sup>th</sup> Grade – All       |                          |                          |                          |                          |                          |                          |
| Reading                           | 74%/68%                  | 79%/70%                  | 79%/74%                  | 72%/74%                  | 85%/75%                  | 78%/76%                  |
| Math                              | 86%/79%                  | 90%/83%                  | 92%/81%                  | 88%/83%                  | 93%/83%                  | 87%/84%                  |
| Writing                           |                          | 42%/50%                  | 43%/55%                  | 70%/54%                  | 67%/55%                  | No test                  |

#### **Observations for 2011 – 2012**

- Adequate Yearly Progress, as determined by No Child Left Behind, required passing percentage has increased from 47.5% of students meeting or exceeding in 06 to 85% meeting or exceeding in 2011.
- Adequate Yearly Progress percentage requirements have increased by 7.5 points each year.
- The state average in reading was below the state requirements to meet the federal requirements in reading as required by No Child Left Behind in all three grade levels for 2010 and in two of the three grade levels in 2011.
- In 2011, the number of students meeting and exceeding on the ISAT exceeded the state average in all areas tested except 3<sup>rd</sup> Grade reading.
- Grades 3 exceeded the benchmark in math in 2011
- Grades 4 exceeded the benchmark in reading, math, and science in 2011.
- Grades 5 exceeded the benchmark in math in 2011
- The number of 4<sup>th</sup> Grade students that met or exceeded for science in 2011 was 10% points higher than the state average
- In 2009, five out of the nine areas tested exceeded the state average.
- In 2010, 8 of the 9 areas tested exceeded the state average.
- In 2011, 6 of the 7 areas tested exceeded the state average.

- The percentage of students in 5<sup>th</sup> Grade that met or exceeded the state average in math has exceeded the state average for six consecutive years
- The percentage of students that met or exceeded all 4<sup>th</sup> Grade scores have exceeded the state average for six consecutive years.
- Students that were assessed in 3<sup>rd</sup> Grade in 09, then again in 4<sup>th</sup> Grade in 2010, and 5<sup>th</sup> Grade in 2011improved the percentage of students that met or exceeded reading scores by 8% points.
- Students that were assessed in 4<sup>th</sup> Grade in 2010, then again in 5<sup>th</sup> Grade in 2011decreased in the number of students that met or exceeded math scores by 6%.
- Students that were assessed in 3rd Grade in 2010, then again in 4<sup>th</sup> Grade in 2011increased in the number of students that met or exceeded math scores by 7%.
- Students that were assessed in 3rd Grade in 2010, then again in 4<sup>th</sup> Grade in 2011increased in the number of students that met or exceeded reading scores by 5%.
- The percentage of students that met or exceeded 3<sup>rd</sup> Grade Math scores increased by 2% points in 2011 when compared to 2010..
- The percentage of students that met or exceeded Math scores is consistently higher than Reading scores in all three grades for six consecutive years.

#### Table 4B:

## ISAT Special Education and Low Income Subgroup Adequate Yearly Progress Data

| 2006<br>47.5% | 2007   | 2008  | 2009   | 2010   | 2011   |
|---------------|--|---|--|--|--|
| 47.5%         | <i>550/</i>  |   |  |  | /  |
|               | 55%  | 62.5%   | 70%  | 77.5%  | 85%  |
|               |  |   |  |  |  |
|               |  |   |  |  |  |
|               |  |   |  |  |  |
| 59%/70%       | 58%/65%  | 53%/81%   | 76%/63%  | 83%/86%  | 65%/84%  |
| 17%/74%       | 43%/67%  | 23%/79%   | 46%/75%  | 63%/87%  | 40%/78%  |
|               |  |   |  |  |  |
| 84%/93%       | 84%/88%  | 78%/90%   | 80%/84%  | 91%/96%  | 90%/100%   |
| 58%/94%       | 71%/90%  | 46%/93%   | 61%/86%  | 75%/95%  | 100%/98%   |
|               |  |   |  |  |  |
|               |  |   |  |  |  |
| 63%/91%       | 73%/78%  | 79%/79%   | 66%/93%  | 73%/77%  | 88%/91%  |
|               |  |   |  |  | 40%93%   |
| 20,0,00,0     | 77070370   | 027070270   | 237070070  | 207070170  | 10707070   |
| 84%/95%       | 95%/88%  | 93%/98%   | 91%/98%  | 90%/97%  | 100%/100%  |
| 56%/100%      | 54%/99%  | 77%/100%  | 83%/97%  | 93%/93%  | 100%/100%  |
| 2070/10070    | 317073370  | 7770710070  | 037017170  | 237012370  | 100/0/100/0  |
| 84%/98%       | 82%/85%  | 82%/91%   | 84%/95%  | 81%/83%  | 88%/97%  |
| 75%/97%       | 46%/91%  | 62%/93%   | 75%/94%  | 57%/88%  | 60%/93%  |
|               |  |   |  |  |  |
|               |  |   |  |  |  |
| 69%/78%       | 50%/98%  | 79%/78%   | 69%/76%  | 74%/93%  | 82%/72%  |
|               |  |   |  |  | 57%/79%  |
| 3470/0170     | 3370/30%   | 1470/7170   | 3470/1070  | / 1 70/ 00 70  | 3170/1970  |
| 77%/02%       | 77%/08%  | 94%/90%   | 01%/85%  | 07%/01%  | 84%/90%  |
|               |  |   |  |  | 86%/87%  |
|               | 17%/74%<br>84%/93%<br>58%/94%<br>63%/91%<br>50%/86%<br>84%/95%<br>56%/100% | 17%/74%         43%/67%           84%/93%         84%/88%           58%/94%         71%/90%           63%/91%         73%/78%           50%/86%         7%/89%           84%/95%         95%/88%           56%/100%         54%/99%           84%/98%         82%/85%           75%/97%         46%/91%           69%/78%         50%/98%           32%/87%         33%/90%           77%/92%         77%/98% | 17%/74%         43%/67%         23%/79%           84%/93%         84%/88%         78%/90%           58%/94%         71%/90%         46%/93%           63%/91%         73%/78%         79%/79%           50%/86%         7%/89%         62%/83%           84%/95%         95%/88%         93%/98%           56%/100%         54%/99%         77%/100%           84%/98%         82%/85%         82%/91%           75%/97%         46%/91%         62%/93%           69%/78%         50%/98%         79%/78%           32%/87%         33%/90%         14%/91%           77%/92%         77%/98%         94%/90% | 17%/74%         43%/67%         23%/79%         46%/75%           84%/93%         84%/88%         78%/90%         80%/84%           58%/94%         71%/90%         46%/93%         61%/86%           63%/91%         73%/78%         79%/79%         66%/93%           50%/86%         7%/89%         62%/83%         59%/86%           84%/95%         95%/88%         93%/98%         91%/98%           56%/100%         54%/99%         77%/100%         83%/97%           84%/98%         82%/85%         82%/91%         84%/95%           75%/97%         46%/91%         62%/93%         75%/94%           69%/78%         50%/98%         79%/78%         69%/76%           32%/87%         33%/90%         14%/91%         54%/76%           77%/92%         77%/98%         94%/90%         91%/85% | 17%/74%         43%/67%         23%/79%         46%/75%         63%/87%           84%/93%         84%/88%         78%/90%         80%/84%         91%/96%           58%/94%         71%/90%         46%/93%         61%/86%         75%/95%           63%/91%         73%/78%         79%/79%         66%/93%         73%/77%           50%/86%         7%/89%         62%/83%         59%/86%         50%/81%           84%/95%         95%/88%         93%/98%         91%/98%         90%/97%           56%/100%         54%/99%         77%/100%         83%/97%         93%/93%           84%/98%         82%/85%         82%/91%         84%/95%         81%/83%           75%/97%         46%/91%         62%/93%         75%/94%         57%/88%           69%/78%         50%/98%         79%/78%         69%/76%         74%/93%           32%/87%         33%/90%         14%/91%         54%/76%         71%/86%           77%/92%         77%/98%         94%/90%         91%/85%         97%/91% |

#### **Observations 2011 – 2012**

- Adequate Yearly Progress percentage requirements have increased by 7.5 points per year to 85% in 2011.
- In 5 of the 7 areas tested, from 3<sup>rd</sup> 5<sup>th</sup> Grade, the percentage of low income students that met or exceeded was lower than non-low income scores.
- The average percent of special education students in the seven areas tested that met or exceeded in 2011 was 73%, compared with 71% in 2010, 65% in 2009, 50% in 2008, and 44% in 2007. The average number of students (general ed. and special ed) meeting or exceeding has increased each of the last five years. The increase in the number of students (gen. ed and special ed.) that have met or exceeded has increased by 29 percentage points over the past five years.

- From 2008 to 2011, the smallest difference between reading low income scores and non low income scores is 1 percentage point. The largest gap is 28 percentage points.
- In 3<sup>rd</sup> Grade Reading, the non-low income students improved from 63% meeting or exceeding in 08-09 to 84% meeting or exceeding in 2011, a 21% points difference.
- In 3<sup>rd</sup> Grade Reading, non low income student scores exceeded low income scores every year from 2006 to 2011 except one year-2009.
- In 3<sup>rd</sup> Grade Math, non low income student scores exceeded low income scores every year from 2006 to 2011.
- In 4th Grade Reading, non low income student scores exceeded low income scores every year from 2006 to 2011 except 2008 and 2011.
- In 4th Grade Science, non low income student scores exceeded low income scores every year from 2006 to 2011.
- All 4<sup>th</sup> Grade students met or exceeded on the 4<sup>th</sup> Grade math assessment.
- The percentage of 4<sup>th</sup> Grade IEP students who met or exceeded standards in Math has increased in each of the last 5 years from 54% to 100%.
- The percentage of 5<sup>th</sup> Grade IEP students that met or exceeded in Math, has increased in the last 5 years from 53% to 86%.
- In 5th Grade reading, non low income student scores exceeded low income scores every year from 2006 to 2011 except 2008 and 2011.
- In 5th Grade Reading, the percentage of low income students that met or exceeded scores exceeded the percentage of non low income scores by 10 percentage points.
- In 5th Grade Math, non low income student scores exceeded low income scores only in 2006, 2007 and 2011.
- In 2011, the students in the 5<sup>th</sup> Grade IEP group improved their math scores from 61% meeting or exceeding in 3<sup>rd</sup> Grade, to 86% meeting or exceeding in 5<sup>th</sup> Grade, an improvement of 25% points.
- Of the 14 sub groups tested in 2011, only 8 met the No Child Left Behind requirement of 85% meeting or exceeding state standards.
- In 2011, the largest span in reading between low income having 65% meet and exceed to non low income scores of 84% meeting or exceeding, a difference of 19 percentage points

#### Table 4C:

## ISAT Gender Subgroups Percentages of Students That Meet or Exceed

|                                   | 2006  | 2007 | 2008  | 2009 | 2010  | 2011    |
|-----------------------------------|-------|------|-------|------|-------|---------|
| Adequate Yearly<br>Progress Goals | 47.5% | 55%  | 62.5% | 70%  | 77.5% | 85%     |
| Reading                           |       |      |       |      |       |         |
| Male Students                     | 65%   | 65%  | 66%   | 70%  | 76%   | 76%     |
| Female Students                   | 80%   | 79%  | 85%   | 82%  | 87%   | 86%     |
| Math                              |       |      |       |      |       |         |
| Male Students                     | 85%   | 87%  | 91%   | 90%  | 90%   | 95%     |
| Female Students                   | 91%   | 90%  | 90%   | 87%  | 96%   | 92%     |
| Science                           |       |      |       |      |       |         |
| Male Students                     | 87%   | 82%  | 90%   | 92%  | 82%   | 97%     |
| Female Students                   | 97%   | 85%  | 85%   | 89%  | 81%   | 86%     |
| Writing                           |       |      |       |      |       |         |
| Male Students                     |       |      |       | 45%  | 49%   | No test |
| Female Students                   |       |      |       | 74%  | 63%   | No test |

#### Observations for 2011 – 2012 on gender groups at the building level

- Adequate Yearly Progress percentage requirements have increased by 7.5 points per year to 85% in 2011.
- In 2010, all reading, math, and science scores were above the 77.5% No Child Left Behind threshold, except male reading at 76%.
- In 2011, all reading, math, and science scores were above the 85% No Child Left Behind threshold, except male reading at 76%.

• In 2011, the State of Illinois did not offer a writing test as part of the ISAT's.

- From 2006 2011, the percentage of male students that met or exceeded in Reading increased by 11% points.
- From 2010 to 2011, male reading scores stayed the same, after three years of improvement.
- From 2006 to 2011, the percentage of female students that met or exceeded in Reading increased by 6% points.
- From 2006 2011, the percentage of male students that met or exceeded in Math increased by 10% points.
- From 2010 to 2011, the percentage of female students that met or exceeded in Math decreased by 4% points.
- From 2010 to 2011, the percentage of male students that met or exceeded in Science increased by 15% points.
- In 2011, the percentage of female students that met or exceeded in Reading was higher than the percentage of males that met or exceeded by 10% points.
- In 2011, the percentage of male students that met or exceeded in math was higher than the percentage of female students that met or exceeded by 3% points.
- In 2011, the percentage of male students that met or exceeded in science was higher than the percentage of female students that met or exceeded by 11% points.
- In 2010, the percentage of female students that met or exceeded in Writing was higher than the percentage of males that met or exceeded by 14% points.
- From 2006 to 2011, there was an increase of 15% points in male Science scores that met or exceeded.
- From 2008 to 2011, a greater percentage of males met or exceeded in Science than females.

#### Table 4D:

#### ISAT Gender Subgroup Scores Female

Data shows % of West Central students who MEETS or EXCEEDS on ISAT compared to the State Average

|                       | 2006    | 2007    | 2008    | 2009    | 2010     | 2011     |
|-----------------------|---------|---------|---------|---------|----------|----------|
|                       | WC      | WC      | WC      | WC      | WC       | WC       |
|                       | Female  | Female  | Female  | Female  | Female   | Female   |
| Adequate Yearly       | 47.5%   | 55%     | 62.5%   | 70%     | 77.5%    | 85%      |
| Progress Goals        | 47.570  | 35 70   | 02.576  | 70 70   | 17.570   | 0570     |
| 3 <sup>rd</sup> Grade |         |         |         |         |          |          |
| Reading               | 78%/75% | 68%/86% | 79%/76% | 81%/76% | 89%/77%  | 75%/78%  |
| Math                  | 92%/86% | 83%/87% | 82%/85% | 81%/85% | 97%/86%  | 89%/88%  |
|                       |         |         |         |         |          |          |
| 4 <sup>th</sup> Grade |         |         |         |         |          |          |
| Reading               | 84%/78% | 82%/77% | 85%/77% | 81%/77% | 81%/77%  | 92%/78%  |
| Math                  | 95%/86% | 91%/87% | 95%/85% | 95%/87% | 91%/87%  | 100%/87% |
| Science               | 97%/80% | 85%/80% | 85%/76% | 89%/77% | 81%/77%  | 87%/79%  |
|                       |         |         |         |         |          |          |
| 5 <sup>th</sup> Grade |         |         |         |         |          |          |
| Reading               | 76%/72% | 88%/74% | 90%/77% | 83%/77% | 89%/79%  | 90%/80%  |
| Math                  | 88%/79% | 98%/84% | 93%/82% | 85%/84% | 100%/84% | 83%/85%  |

#### Observations 2011 - 2012 on females by grade level

- Adequate Yearly Progress percentage requirements have increased by 7.5 points per year to 85% in 2011
- The 3<sup>rd</sup> Grade Reading percentage of females that met or exceeded has been above the state average for 4 of the past 6 years. In 2011, it is 3% below the state average.
- The 3<sup>rd</sup> Grade Math percentage of females that met or exceeded is below the state average 5 out of 6 years.
- The 4<sup>th</sup> Grade Reading percentage of females that met or exceeded has been above the state average all 6 years.
- The 4<sup>th</sup> Grade Math percentage of females that met or exceeded has been above the state average for all 6 years.
- The 4<sup>th</sup> Grade Science percentage of females that met or exceeded has been above the state average for all 6 years.
- The 5<sup>th</sup> Grade Reading percentage of females that met or exceeded has been above the state average for all 6 years.

- The 5<sup>th</sup> Grade Math percentage of females that met or exceeded has been above the state average for 5 of the past 6 years. It was 2% points below in 2011.
- The 4<sup>th</sup> Grade had 100% of females met or exceed in math.
- The percentage of females meeting and exceeding in 2011 5<sup>th</sup> Grade math decreased by 9% points when compared to the percentage of females from the 4<sup>th</sup> Grade 2010 math percentage meeting and exceeding.
- The percentage of females meeting and exceeding in 2011 4th Grade reading increased by 3% points when compared to the percentage of females from the 3<sup>rd</sup> Grade 2010 reading percentage meeting and exceeding.
- The percentage of students meeting and exceeding in 2011 4th Grade math increased by 3% points when compared to the percentage of students from the 3<sup>rd</sup> Grade 2010 math percentage meeting and exceeding.
- The percentage of females meeting and exceeding in 2011 5th Grade reading increased by 9% points when compared to the percentage of females from the 4th Grade 2010 reading percentage meeting and exceeding.
- The percentage of females meeting and exceeding in 2011 5th Grade math decreased by 8% points when compared to the percentage of females from the 4th Grade 2010 math percentage meeting and exceeding.

#### Table 4E:

#### ISAT Gender Subgroup Scores

Data shows % of West Central students who MEETS or EXCEEDS on ISAT compared to the State Average

|                       | 2006    | 2007         | 2008    | 2009    | 2010     | 2011     |
|-----------------------|---------|--------------|---------|---------|----------|----------|
|                       | WC      | WC           | WC      | WC      | WC       | WC       |
|                       | Male    | Male         | Male    | Male    | Male     | Male     |
| Adequate Yearly       | 47.50/  | <i>55</i> 0/ | (2.50/  | 700/    | 77.50/   | 950/     |
| Progress Goals        | 47.5%   | 55%          | 62.5%   | 70%     | 77.5%    | 85%      |
| 3 <sup>rd</sup> Grade |         |              |         |         |          |          |
| Reading               | 54%/67% | 55%/69%      | 59%/67% | 61%/67% | 78%//74% | 72%72%   |
| Math                  | 86%/85% | 90%/77%      | 86%/85% | 83%/85% | 88%/86%  | 100%/87% |
|                       |         |              |         |         |          |          |
| 4 <sup>th</sup> Grade |         |              |         |         |          |          |
| Reading               | 73%/68% | 68%/71%      | 71%/70% | 82%/70% | 95%/86%  | 86%/71%  |
| Math                  | 87%/84% | 90%/86%      | 97%/84% | 95%/85% | 93%/86%  | 100%/87% |
| Science               | 87%/79% | 82%/80%      | 90%/76% | 92%/77% | 82%/77%  | 97%/79%  |
|                       |         |              |         |         |          |          |
| 5 <sup>th</sup> Grade |         |              |         |         |          |          |
| Reading               | 73%/65% | 69%/66%      | 68%/70% | 57%/70% | 81%/71%  | 68%/73%  |
| Math                  | 85%/78% | 81%/81%      | 91%/81% | 93%/81% | 86%82.4% | 89%/83%  |

#### Observations for 2011 – 2012 on males by grade level

- Adequate Yearly Progress percentage requirements have increased by 7.5 points per year to 85% in 2011
- In 3<sup>rd</sup> and 4<sup>th</sup> Grade, 100% of the male students in 2011 met or exceeded in math.
- The 3<sup>rd</sup> Grade Math percentage of males that met or exceeded has been above the state average 5 out of 6 years.
- The 4<sup>th</sup> Grade Reading percentage of males that met or exceeded has been above the state average 5 out of 6 years.
- The 4<sup>th</sup> Grade Math percentage of males that met or exceeded has been above the state average for 6 years.
- The 4<sup>th</sup> Grade Science percentage of males that met or exceeded has been above the state average for 6 years.
- The 4<sup>th</sup> Grade Science percentage of males that met or exceeded decreased by 15 percentage points from the preceding year.
- The 5<sup>th</sup> Grade Reading percentage of males that met or exceeded has been above the state average 3 out of 6 years.
- The 5<sup>th</sup> Grade Math percentage of males that met or exceeded has been at or above the state average for 6 years.
- The percentage of males that met or exceeded 4<sup>th</sup> Grade reading in 2011 increased by 8.1% points from their 3<sup>rd</sup> Grade reading 2010 assessment.

- The percentage of males that met or exceeded 4<sup>th</sup> Grade 2011math increased by 12.1% points from their 3<sup>rd</sup> Grade 2010 math assessment.
- 2010 was the first year that the 3<sup>rd</sup> Grade males scored above the state average in reading.
- In 2011, 5<sup>th</sup> Grade reading dropped by 13.1 percentage points in the number of males meeting and exceeding from the preceding year.
- In 2011, 3<sup>rd</sup> Grade reading dropped by 6.1 percentage points in the number of males meeting and exceeding from the preceding year.
- In 2011, the 4<sup>th</sup> Grade state average dropped by 15.1 percentage points in the number of meeting and exceeding from the preceding year.

#### Table 4F:

### 2011-20121st Quarter Report Card Grades $3^{rd}$ , $4^{th}$ and $5^{th}$ Grade

3<sup>rd</sup> Grade - 60 Students Total: 56% of the 3<sup>rd</sup> Grade are boys and 44% of the 3<sup>rd</sup> Grade are girls

|     | Rea  | ding  | Langu | age Arts | Ma   | ıth   | Social | Studies | Scie | ence  | To   | tal   |
|-----|------|-------|-------|----------|------|-------|--------|---------|------|-------|------|-------|
|     | Boys | Girls | Boys  | Girls    | Boys | Girls | Boys   | Girls   | Boys | Girls | Boys | Girls |
| A's | 13   | 17    | 23    | 20       | 15   | 15    | 24     | 21      | 34   | 26    | 109  | 99    |
| B's | 18   | 7     | 7     | 4        | 13   | 10    | 8      | 5       |      |       | 46   | 26    |
| C's | 1    | 1     | 2     | 2        | 5    |       | 2      |         |      |       | 10   | 3     |
| D's |      |       |       |          |      | 1     |        |         |      |       |      | 1     |
| F's | 1    | 1     | 1     |          |      |       |        |         |      |       | 2    | 1     |
|     |      |       |       |          |      |       |        |         |      |       | 167  | 130   |

4th Grade - 58 Students Total: 48% of the 4th Grade are boys and 52% of the 4th Grade are girls

|     | Rea  | ding  | Langua | age Arts | Ma   | ıth   | Social | Studies | Scie | ence  | To   | tal   |
|-----|------|-------|--------|----------|------|-------|--------|---------|------|-------|------|-------|
|     | Boys | Girls | Boys   | Girls    | Boys | Girls | Boys   | Girls   | Boys | Girls | Boys | Girls |
| A's | 15   | 14    | 22     | 24       | 19   | 15    | 19     | 18      | 25   | 23    | 100  | 94    |
| B's | 11   | 12    | 5      | 5        | 7    | 13    | 7      | 8       | 3    | 6     | 33   | 44    |
| C's | 1    | 2     |        |          | 1    | 1     | 2      | 2       |      |       | 4    | 5     |
| D's |      | 1     |        |          |      |       |        | 1       |      |       |      | 2     |
| F's |      |       |        |          |      |       |        |         |      |       |      |       |
|     |      |       |        |          |      |       |        |         |      |       | 137  | 145   |

**5<sup>th</sup> Grade** -76 Students Total: 50% of the 5<sup>th</sup> Grade are boys and 50% of the 5<sup>th</sup> Grade are girls

|     | Rea  | ding  | Langu | age Arts | Ma   | ıth   | Social | Studies | Scie | nce   | To   | tal   |
|-----|------|-------|-------|----------|------|-------|--------|---------|------|-------|------|-------|
|     | Boys | Girls | Boys  | Girls    | Boys | Girls | Boys   | Girls   | Boys | Girls | Boys | Girls |
| A's | 23   | 22    | 19    | 27       | 22   | 26    | 25     | 27      | 24   | 26    | 112  | 128   |
| B's | 12   | 11    | 15    | 9        | 9    | 11    | 7      | 7       | 13   | 11    | 56   | 49    |
| C's | 1    | 4     | 1     |          | 5    |       | 3      | 3       | 1    |       | 11   | 6     |
| D's | 1    |       | 1     |          | 1    |       | 1      |         |      |       | 4    |       |
| F's |      |       |       |          |      |       |        |         |      |       |      |       |
|     |      |       |       |          |      |       |        |         |      |       | 183  | 183   |

#### **2011 - 2012 Observations**

- There were 945 grades issued in 3<sup>rd</sup>, 4<sup>th</sup> and 5<sup>th</sup> Grade for the first quarter.
- 3 grades was issued that were an F, which meant 99.7% of the grades were passing.
- 642 out of 945 grades were A's, 68%.
- In 10-11, 702 out of 912 grades were an A, 77%.
- 89% of the 3<sup>rd</sup> Grade boys received A's and B's in reading, with 39% of the grades an A.
- 92% of the 3<sup>rd</sup> Grade girls received A's and B's in reading, with 65% of the grades an A.
- 85% of the 3<sup>rd</sup> Grade boys received A's and B's in math, with 45% of the grades an A.
- 96% of the 3<sup>rd</sup> Grade girls received A's and B's in math, with 58% of the grades an A.
- 96% of the 4th Grade boys received A's and B's in reading, with 56% of the grades an A.
- 90% of the 4th Grade girls received A's and B's in reading,, with 48% of the grades an A.

- 96% of the 4th Grade boys received A's and B's in math, with 70% of the grades an A.
- 97% of the 4th Grade girls received A's and B's in math, with 52% of the grades an A.
- 95% of the 5th Grade boys received A's and B's in reading, with 62% of the grades an A.
- 89% of the 5th Grade girls received A's and B's in reading, with 59% of the grades an A.
- 84% of the 5th Grade boys received A's and B's in math, with 59% of the grades an A.
- 100% of the 5th Grade girls received A's and B's in math, with 70% of the grades an A.
- 70% of 3<sup>rd</sup> Grade grades were an A.
- 69% of 4<sup>th</sup> Grade grades were an A.
- 66% of 5<sup>th</sup> Grade grades were an A.

#### **ISEL**

The ISEL test is a multifaceted K, 1<sup>st</sup> and 2<sup>nd</sup> Grade classroom-based Reading inventory. It is based on scientific Reading research and sound classroom practice and is administered to students individually. It reflects the National Standards for Reading, the Illinois Reading Standards, and is linked to the overall goals of the Illinois Reading Initiative. The ISEL provides information for classroom instructional planning, identifies students who may need an early reading intervention program, and furnishes pre- and post-assessment data to judge student progress.

#### Table 4G:

## Illinois Snapshots of Early Literacy (ISEL) Assessment Scores

Kindergarten Scores - WC Kgt class average is given first and the state's target score is listed second

|                | Letter | Story  | Phone | Match | Letter | Develop | Word  |       | Passage | T21     |
|----------------|--------|--------|-------|-------|--------|---------|-------|-------|---------|---------|
|                | Recog  | Listen | Aware | Word  | Sound  | Spell   | Recog | Vocab | Rdg     | Fluency |
|                | WC/    | WC/    | WC/   | WC/   | WC/    | WC/     | WC/   | WC/   | WC/     | WC/     |
|                | State  | State  | State | State | State  | State   | State | State | State   | State   |
| Fall 2005      | 24/35  | 12/14  | 4/6   | 3/4   | 4/9    |         |       |       |         |         |
| Fall<br>2006   | 26/35  | 13/14  | 5/6   | 5/4   | 4/9    |         |       |       |         |         |
| Fall 2007      | 30/35  | 14/14  | 6/6   | 5/4   | 7/9    |         |       |       |         |         |
| Fall<br>2008   | 28/35  | 14/14  | 4/6   | 3/4   | 5/9    |         |       |       |         |         |
| Fall 2009      | 47/35  | 16/14  | 9/6   | 6/4   | 15/9   |         |       |       |         |         |
| Fall 2010      | 29/35  | 13/14  | 5/6   | 3/4   | 6/9    |         |       |       |         |         |
| Fall 2011      | 50/35  | 14/14  | 8/6   | 5/4   | 15/9   |         |       |       |         |         |
| Spring 2006    | 49/52  | 16/15  | 9/9   | 8/8   | 19/19  | 15/14   | 5/7   | 9/9   | 6/5     |         |
| Spring<br>2007 | 53/52  | 18/15  | 10/9  | 9/8   | 24/19  | 19/14   | 11/7  | 8/9   | 10/5    |         |
| Spring<br>2008 | 52/52  | 17/15  | 9/9   | 9/8   | 24/19  | 19/14   | 11/7  | 8/9   | 10/5    |         |
| Spring<br>2009 | 53/52  | 19/15  | 10/9  | 9/8   | 25/19  | 20/14   | 13/7  | 10/9  | 11/5    |         |
| Spring<br>2010 | 52/52  | 17/15  | 9/9   | 8/8   | 23/19  | 19/14   | 10/7  | 9/9   | 9/5     |         |
| Spring<br>2011 | 51/52  | 16/15  | 9/9   | 7/8   | 23/19  | 17/14   | 8/9   | 7/9   | 7/5     |         |

1st Grade Scores – WC 1st Grade average is given first and state's target score is listed second

|                | Letter | Story  | Phone | Match | Letter | Develop | Word  | Vasak | Passage | El      |
|----------------|--------|--------|-------|-------|--------|---------|-------|-------|---------|---------|
|                | Recog  | Listen | Aware | Word  | Sound  | Spell   | Recog | Vocab | Rdg     | Fluency |
|                | WC/    | WC/    | WC/   | WC/   | WC/    | WC/     | WC/   | WC/   | WC/     | WC/     |
|                | State  | State  | State | State | State  | State   | State | State | State   | State   |
| Fall 2005      | 50/53  | 16/17  | 8/10  | 8/9   | 20/20  | 16/15   | 10/11 | 7/9   | 7/3     |         |
| Fall<br>2006   | 49/53  | 16/17  | 7/10  | 7/9   | 18/20  | 14/15   | 9/11  | 9/9   | 7/3     |         |
| Fall<br>2007   | 52/53  | 17/17  | 9/10  | 8/9   | 23/20  | 17/15   | 13/11 | 10/9  | 9/3     |         |
| Fall<br>2008   | 52/53  | 17/17  | 9/10  | 8/9   | 23/20  | 18/15   | 14/11 | 10/9  | 11/3    |         |
| Fall<br>2009   | 52/53  | 19/17  | 9/10  | 8/9   | 24/20  | 18/15   | 14/11 | 9/9   | 11/3    |         |
| Fall<br>2010   | 52/53  | 17/17  | 9/10  | 8/9   | 23/20  | 17/15   | 13/11 | 9/9   | 10/3    |         |
| Fall<br>2011   | 50/53  | 16/17  | 9/10  | 7/9   | 22/20  | 16/15   | 12/11 | 9/9   | 9/3     |         |
| Spring<br>2006 | 54/54  | 19/18  | 10/10 | 9/9   | 25/25  | 24/23   | 19/21 | 12/10 | 17/16   | 54/64   |
| Spring<br>2007 | 53/54  | 19/18  | 9/9   | 26/25 | 24/23  | 24/23   | 19/21 | 11/10 | 17/16   | 62/64   |
| Spring<br>2008 | 53/54  | 18/18  | 10/10 | 9/9   | 25/25  | 24/23   | 19/21 | 10/10 | 17/16   | 61/64   |
| Spring<br>2009 | 54/54  | 20/18  | 10/10 | 9/9   | 26/25  | 24/23   | 21/21 | 11/10 | 18/16   | 78/64   |
| Spring<br>2010 | 54/54  | 20/18  | 10/10 | 9/9   | 26/25  | 25/23   | 22/21 | 11/10 | 19/16   | 76/64   |
| Spring<br>2011 | 54/54  | 21/18  | 10/10 | 9/9   | 26/25  | 26/23   | 22/21 | 12/10 | 20/16   | 127/64  |

 $2^{nd} \ Grade \ Scores - WC \ 2^{nd} \ Grade \ average \ is \ given \ first \ and \ state's \ target \ score \ is \ listed \ second$ 

|                | Spelling | Word<br>Recognition | Fluency | Extended<br>Response | Passage<br>Accuracy | Comprehend | Vocabulary |
|----------------|----------|---------------------|---------|----------------------|---------------------|------------|------------|
|                | WC/      | WC/                 | WC/     | WC/                  | WC/                 | WC/        | WC/        |
|                | State    | State               | State   | State                | State               | State      | State      |
| Fall           |          |                     |         |                      |                     |            |            |
| 2005           | 3/4      | 11/15               | 57/74   | 8/13                 | 82/96               | 5/7        | 6/9        |
| Fall<br>2006   | 3/4      | 11/15               | 58/74   | 6/13                 | 70/96               | 5/7        | 8/9        |
| Fall<br>2007   | 3/4      | 11/15               | 61/74   | 7/13                 | 71/96               | 5/7        | 8/9        |
| Fall 2008      | 4/4      | 12/15               | 64/74   | 10/13                | 81/96               | 6/7        | 9/9        |
| Fall 2009      | 4/4      | 12/15               | 75/74   | 7/13                 | 81/96               | 6/7        | 9/9        |
| Fall<br>2010   | 4/4      | 11/15               | 68/74   | 7/13                 | 77/96               | 6/7        | 9/9        |
| Fall 2011      | 4/4      | 11/15               | 68/74   | 7/13                 | 78/96               | 6/7        | 9/9        |
| Spring<br>2006 | 5/5      | 18/20               | 83/99   | 8/6                  | 93/98               | 8/7        | 11/11      |
| Spring<br>2007 | 5/5      | 18/20               | 94/99   | 9/6                  | 96/98               | 12/7       | 14/11      |
| Spring<br>2008 | 6/5      | 18/20               | 101/99  | 11/6                 | 96/98               | 8/7        | 11/11      |
| Spring<br>2009 | 5/5      | 19/20               | 107/99  | 17/6                 | 97/98               | 10/7       | 12/11      |
| Spring<br>2010 | 7/5      | 21/20               | 114/99  | 15/6                 | 98/98               | 9/7        | 12/11      |
| Spring<br>2011 | 7/5      | 19/20               | 123/99  | 16/6                 | 97/98               | 9/7        | 12/11      |

#### Observations of 2011 - 2012

- In Spring 2011, Kindergarten is at or above the state average in five of the nine tested areas.
- In Spring 2011, First Grade scores are at or above the state average in all areas.
- In Spring 2011 to Fall of 2011 (K-1), three areas remained constant while three areas increased and three areas decreased.
- In Spring 2011, First Grade spelling increased by 1 point from 2010.
- In Spring 2011, 2<sup>nd</sup> Grade average is equal to or above the state average in all areas except two.
- In Spring 2011, 2<sup>nd</sup> Grade is 9 points higher than Spring 2010 and 24 points higher than the state average in fluency.
- From Fall 2010 to Spring 2011, Kindergarten improved in every area.
- From Fall 2010 to Spring 2011, 1st Grade improved in every area.
- From Fall 2010 to Spring 2011, 2<sup>nd</sup> Grade improved in every area.
- From Spring 2006 to Spring 2011, 1<sup>st</sup> Grade fluency has increased from 54 words per minute to 127 words per minute, an increase of 83 words per minute.
- From Spring 2006 to Spring 2011, 2nd Grade fluency has increased from 83 words per minute to 123 words per minute, an increase of 40 words per minute.

#### **Star Reading Assessments**

Star Reading assessments are a computerized program designed to determine the reading level of each student, measure individual and class growth, and forecast results on standardized tests with the accurate, reliable, and efficient assessment students can complete in ten minutes.

#### Table 4H:

#### STAR Reading Assessment Average Grade Equivalent (Current Grade Placement 11-12) 2011 - 2012

All students tested. Chart rows read from 1st to 5th Grade.

|              | 1 <sup>st</sup><br>Spring | 2 <sup>nd</sup><br>Fall | 2 <sup>nd</sup><br>Spring | 3 <sup>rd</sup><br>Fall | 3 <sup>rd</sup><br>Spring | 4 <sup>th</sup><br>Fall | 4 <sup>th</sup><br>Spring | 5 <sup>th</sup><br>Fall | 5 <sup>th</sup><br>Spring |
|--------------|---------------------------|-------------------------|---------------------------|-------------------------|---------------------------|-------------------------|---------------------------|-------------------------|---------------------------|
| Second Grade | 2.2                       | 2.1                     |                           |                         |                           |                         |                           |                         |                           |
| Third Grade  | 2.3                       | 2.2                     | 3.4                       | 3.3                     |                           |                         |                           |                         |                           |
| Fourth Grade | 2.4                       | 2.3                     | 3.4                       | 3.1                     | 3.9                       | 4.2                     |                           |                         |                           |
| Fifth Grade  | 2.2                       | 2.1                     | 3.2                       | 3.0                     | 3.7                       | 3.7                     | 5.2                       | 5.1                     |                           |
| Sixth Grade  | 2.0                       | 2.0                     | 3.1                       | 2.5                     | 3.6                       | 3.6                     | 4.8                       | 5.1                     | 6                         |

#### Observations of 2011 - 2012

- 2<sup>nd</sup> Grade class average scores are lower in the Fall than the previous Spring by .1.
- 3<sup>rd</sup> Grade class average scores are lower in the Fall than the previous Spring by .1.
- 4th Grade class average scores are higher in the Fall than the previous Spring by .3.
- 5th Grade class average scores are lower in the Fall than the previous Spring by .1.
- 3rd, 4th, and 5<sup>th</sup> Grade average class scores are higher than the average grade level in the Fall of 2010.
- 2<sup>nd</sup>, 3<sup>rd</sup>, 4<sup>th</sup>, and 5<sup>th</sup> Grade average class scores were higher in the Spring than the average grade level.

- The 1<sup>st</sup> Grade average Spring class scores for the past five years are higher than the Star Reading expected average of 1.8 for the Spring assessment.
- The 2nd Grade average Spring class scores for the past four years are higher than the Star Reading expected average of 2.8 for the Spring assessment.
- The 3rd Grade average Spring class scores for two the past three years are lower than the Star Reading expected average of 3.8 for the Spring assessment.
- The 4<sup>th</sup> Grade Spring average is at or above the Star Reading average of 4.8 for the Spring assessment.
- When comparing the last two Spring to Spring scores at each grade level, three of the five grades experienced at least a full years growth in the average reading score.

#### Table 41

#### **STAR Reading Assessment**

#### End of Year Percentage of students that met grade level STAR expectations

(STAR expectations are based on 50% scoring above the targeted grade level score and 50% scoring below the targeted grade level score nationally.)

|              | Targeted<br>Score | 2010 Spring %<br>that<br>met/exceeded | 2011 Spring %<br>that<br>met/exceeded | 2012 Spring<br>% that<br>met/exceeded | 2013 Spring %<br>that<br>met/exceeded |
|--------------|-------------------|---------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| First Grade  | 1.8               | 70%                                   | 54%                                   |                                       |                                       |
| Second Grade | 2.8               | 58%                                   | 67%                                   |                                       |                                       |
| Third Grade  | 3.8               | 52%                                   | 55%                                   |                                       |                                       |
| Fourth Grade | 4.8               | 55%                                   | 60%                                   |                                       |                                       |
| Fifth Grade  | 5.8               | 53%                                   | 46%                                   |                                       |                                       |

#### Observations of 2011 - 2012

- Spring of 2010 is the first year that this test data was collected.
- In the Spring of 2010, the 1<sup>st</sup> Grade class was 20 percentage points above the national average of 50%.
- All five grade levels exceeded the 50% national average in 2010.
- In 2011, four grades exceeded the 50% national average
- The percentage of students that met or exceeded 2nd Grade reading in 2011 decreased by 3% points from their 1st Grade reading 2010 assessment.
- The percentage of students that met or exceeded 3rd Grade reading in 2011 decreased by 3% points from their 2nd Grade reading 2010 assessment.
- The percentage of students that met or exceeded 4th Grade reading in 2011 increased by 8% points from their 3rd Grade reading 2010 assessment.
- The percentage of students that met or exceeded 5th Grade reading in 2011 decreased by 9% points from their 4th Grade reading 2010 assessment.

#### Table 4J:

#### **AIMSweb**

AIMSweb is an assessment program based on direct and continuous student assessments in fluency and comprehension. It is based on scientific Reading research and sound classroom practice and is administered to students individually by the Title I teachers. All students in 1<sup>st</sup>-5<sup>th</sup> grade are tested three times a year. Students referred to the RtI process are then progress monitored once every two weeks.

#### **AIMSweb Fluency Assessment 2009-2010**

2009-2010 was the first year of the AIMSweb Fluency Assessment for grades 3-5, grades 1-2 were added in the 2010-2011 school year.

| 5 <sup>th</sup> grade | Fall | Winter | Spring |
|-----------------------|------|--------|--------|
| TARGET                | 116  | 133    | 148    |
| # tested              | 71   | 74     | 75     |
| # met                 | 37   | 40     | 49     |
| % met                 | 52%  | 54%    | 65%    |
|                       |      |        |        |
| 4 <sup>th</sup> grade | Fall | Winter | Spring |
| TARGET                | 103  | 119    | 132    |
| # tested              | 70   | 71     | 71     |
| # met                 | 37   | 30     | 39     |
| % met                 | 53%  | 42%    | 55%    |
|                       |      |        |        |
| 3 <sup>rd</sup> grade | Fall | Winter | Spring |
| TARGET                | 83   | 105    | 120    |
| # tested              | 71   | 70     | 67     |
| # met                 | 26   | 36     | 37     |
| % met                 | 37%  | 51%    | 55%    |
|                       |      |        |        |

#### **AIMSweb Fluency Assessment 2010-2011**

| 5 <sup>th</sup> grade | Fall | Winter | Spring |
|-----------------------|------|--------|--------|
| TARGET                | 116  | 133    | 148    |
| # tested              | 68   | 69     | 65     |
| # met                 | 38   | 40     | 43     |
| % met                 | 56%  | 58%    | 66%    |
|                       |      |        |        |
| 4 <sup>th</sup> grade | Fall | Winter | Spring |
| TARGET                | 103  | 119    | 132    |
| # tested              | 72   | 73     | 73     |
| # met                 | 35   | 44     | 56     |
| % met                 | 48%  | 60%    | 77%    |
|                       |      |        |        |
| 3 <sup>rd</sup> grade | Fall | Winter | Spring |
| TARGET                | 83   | 105    | 120    |
| # tested              | 56   | 57     | 56     |
| # met                 | 35   | 45     | 42     |

| % met                 | 62%  | 79%    | 75%[   |
|-----------------------|------|--------|--------|
|                       |      |        |        |
| 2 <sup>nd</sup> grade | Fall | Winter | Spring |
| Target                | 60   | 85     | 102    |
| # tested              | 61   | 58     | 58     |
| # met                 | 39   | 50     | 47     |
| % met                 | 64%  | 86%    | 81%    |
|                       |      |        |        |
| 1st grade             | Fall | Winter | Spring |
| Target                | 12   | 30     | 61     |
| # tested              | 65   | 66     | 67     |
| # met                 | 40   | 36     | 50     |
| % met                 | 61%  | 54%    | 75%    |

#### **AIMSweb Fluency Assessment 2011-2012**

| 5 <sup>th</sup> grade | Fall | Winter | Spring |
|-----------------------|------|--------|--------|
| TARGET                | 121  | 139    | 153    |
| # tested              | 74   |        |        |
| # met                 | 32   |        |        |
| % met                 | 43%  |        |        |
|                       |      |        |        |
| 4 <sup>th</sup> grade | Fall | Winter | Spring |
| TARGET                | 107  | 119    | 132    |
| # tested              | 60   |        |        |
| # met                 | 36   |        |        |
| % met                 | 60%  |        |        |
|                       |      |        |        |
| 3 <sup>rd</sup> grade | Fall | Winter | Spring |
| TARGET                | 87   | 105    | 120    |
| # tested              | 59   |        |        |
| # met                 | 29   |        |        |
| % met                 | 51%  |        |        |
|                       |      |        |        |
| 2 <sup>nd</sup> grade | Fall | Winter | Spring |
| Target                | 60   |        |        |
| # tested              | 67   |        |        |
| # met                 | 33   |        |        |
| % met                 | 49%  |        |        |
|                       |      |        |        |
| 1st grade             | Fall | Winter | Spring |
| Target                | 13   |        |        |
| # tested              | 64   |        |        |
| # met                 | 28   |        |        |
| % met                 | 44%  |        |        |

#### **Observations of 2011 – 2012**

- 5<sup>th</sup> Grade percentage of students that met from fall to spring in 10-11 increased by 10 percentage points.
- 4<sup>th</sup> Grade percentage of students that met from fall to spring in 10-11 increased by 29 percentage points.

- 3<sup>rd</sup> Grade percentage of students that met from fall to spring in 10-11 increased by 13 percentage points.
- 2nd Grade percentage of students that met from fall to spring in 10-11 increased by 17 percentage points.
- 1st Grade percentage of students that met from fall to spring in 10-11 increased by 14 percentage points.
- 4th and 5<sup>th</sup> Grade 10-11 percentage that met improved in the winter and then again spring assessments.
- There is a decrease in the percentage of students that met on their fluency scores when comparing the same students from their Spring Assessments and then comparing to their Fall Assessments after summer break.

#### **Overall Assessment Observations**

#### **Observations of 2006 - 2007**

On the Illinois State Achievement test, West Central Elementary students exceeded the state average in 6 out of 7 tested academic areas. On the ISEL test, given to K,  $1^{st}$  and  $2^{nd}$  Grade twice a year, an area of weakness is fluency. On exit outcomes in Grades  $K-5^{th}$  in Reading and Math, 92% of the outcomes exceeded 70%.

#### Observations of 2007 - 2008

On the Illinois State Achievement Test, West Central Elementary students exceeded the state standards in all tested areas as required by No Child Left Behind. Students also exceeded the state average in 5 out of 8 tested academic areas. On the ISEL test, given to K, 1<sup>st</sup>, 2<sup>nd</sup> Grades twice a year, the fluency score increased in each grade level from Spring 06 to Spring 07. Also on the ISEL, the scores in 23 out of 26 tested areas in K, 1<sup>st</sup>, 2<sup>nd</sup> Grade increased or stayed the same from Spring 06 to Spring 07.

#### Observations of 2008 - 2009

On the Illinois State Achievement Test, West Central Elementary students exceeded the state standards in all tested areas as required by No Child Left Behind. Students also exceeded the state average in 5 out of 8 tested academic areas. The percentage of students with an Individual Education Plan that met or exceeded state standards also improved this year. On the ISEL, the scores in 19 out of 27 tested areas in K, 1<sup>st</sup>, 2<sup>nd</sup> Grade increased or stayed the same from Spring 07 to Spring 08.

#### **Observations of 2009 - 2010**

On the Illinois State Achievement Test, West Central Elementary students met the state standards in all tested areas as required by No Child Left Behind. Students also exceeded the state average in 5 out of 9 tested academic areas. The percentage of male Reading students that meet or exceed on the ISAT has increased by 5 percentage points over the last five years. The percentage of students with an Individual Educational Plan that met or exceeded state standards improved again this year. ISEL scores in Kindergarten have increased while First and Second Grade scores have remained constant.

#### **Observations of 2010 - 2011**

On the Illinois State Achievement Test, West Central Elementary students met the state and federal standards in all tested areas as required by No Child Left Behind. Students also exceeded the state average in 8 out of 9 tested academic areas. The percentage of male Reading students that meet or exceed on the 2010 ISAT has increased by 6 percentage points when compared to the 2009 ISAT. The percentage of female Reading students that meet or exceed on the 2010 ISAT has increased by 5 percentage points when compared to the 2009 ISAT. The percentage of female Math students that meet or exceed on the 2010 ISAT has increased by 9 percentage points when compared to the 2009 ISAT. The percentage of students with an Individual Educational Plan that met or exceeded state standards on the 2010 ISAT improved by six percentage points when compared to the 2009 ISAT. Fluency scores on the ISEL have increased in both the First and Second Grade.

#### **Observations of 2011 - 2012**

On the Illinois State Achievement Test, West Central Elementary students did not meet all the state and federal standards as required by No Child Left Behind. 79.9% of our students met or exceeded on the 2011 reading assessment of the ISAT with 85% meeting or exceeding being the state and federal

goal. 94.7% of our students met or exceeded on the math assessment of the ISAT with 85% meeting or exceeding being the state and federal goal. Our students exceeded the state average in 6 out of 7 tested academic areas. The percentage of female and male Reading students that meet or exceed on the 2011 ISAT remained consistent when compared to the 2010 ISAT. The percentage of male Math students that meet or exceed on the 2011 ISAT increased by 5 percentage points when compared to the 2010 ISAT. The percentage of students with an Individual Educational Plan that met or exceeded state standards on the 2011 ISAT improved by 2 percentage points when compared to the 2010 ISAT. The percentage of students with an individual education plan that meet or exceed on the ISAT has increased each of the past 6 years.

Based on information from the Illinois Interactive Report Card, West Central Elementary has improved their overall composite score of meeting/exceeding to 88% with the 2011 ISAT. This is a 5 percentage point improvement over the past three years and is 6 percentage points higher than the state average.

#### II.3 DEMOGRAPHIC DATA

Table 5: General School Data
Provided by School Report Card
(Data is based on the prior school year)

|                    | 2005    | - 2006  | 2006 -  | - 2007  | 2007    | - 2008  | 2008 -  | - 2009  |
|--------------------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|
|                    | #       | %       | #       | %       | #       | %       | #       | %       |
| Attendance         | 453     | 94.3    | 446     | 94.9    | 426     | 94.4    | 421     | 95      |
| Truancy Rate       | 3       | .07     | 2       | .04     | 0       | 0       | 0       | 0       |
| Mobility Rate      | 56      | 9.5     | 60      | 13.5    | 64      | 15.1    | 35      | 8.3     |
| Low Income Rate    |         | 51.2    |         | 48.7    |         | 49.2    |         | 53.2    |
| Suspension Rate    |         |         |         |         |         |         |         |         |
| Transfers IN       | 28      | 6.0     | 27      | 6.1     | 40      | 9.4     | 25      | 5.9     |
| Transfers OUT      | 28      | 6.0     | 33      | 7.3     | 24      | 5.6     | 27      | 6.4     |
| Promotion Rate     |         |         |         |         |         |         |         |         |
| Retention Rate     | 5       | 1       | 7       | 1.5     | 3       | .07     | 3       | .07     |
| HS Graduation Rate |         |         |         |         |         |         |         |         |
| Hs Drop-Out Rate   |         |         |         |         |         |         |         |         |
| Gender             | M       | F       | M       | F       | M       | F       | M       | F       |
|                    | 235/52% | 222/48% | 213/50% | 214/50% | 210/49% | 216/51% | 202/49% | 213/51% |
| Caucasian          | 235     | 222     | 207     | 209     | 203     | 209     | 195     | 201     |
| African-American   | 1       |         |         |         |         | 1       |         |         |
| Hispanic           |         | 1       | 3       | 3       | 2       | 4       | 2       | 4       |
| Other (Specify)    |         | 1       | 3       | 3       | 1       |         |         | 2       |
| Indian             |         | _       | J       | ,       | •       |         |         |         |
| Multiethnic        |         | 1       |         |         | 4       | 2       | 5       | 6       |

|                        | 2009 - 2010   |               | 2010 -      | 2010 - 2011 |   | - 2012 | 2012 | - 2013 |
|------------------------|---------------|---------------|-------------|-------------|---|--------|------|--------|
|                        | #             | %             | #           | %           | # | %      | #    | %      |
| Attendance             | 409           | 94.4          | 392         | 94.7        |   |        |      |        |
| Truancy Rate           | 0             | 0             | 0           | 0           |   |        |      |        |
| Mobility Rate          | 40            | 9.8           | 47          | 12          |   |        |      |        |
| Low Income Rate        |               | 56            |             | 55          |   |        |      |        |
| Suspension Rate        |               |               |             |             |   |        |      |        |
| Transfers IN           | 24            | 5.8           | 25          | 6.3         |   |        |      |        |
| Transfers OUT          | 16            | 3.9           | 22          | 5.6         |   |        |      |        |
| Promotion Rate         |               |               |             |             |   |        |      |        |
| Retention Rate         | 0             | 0             | 2           | .05         |   |        |      |        |
| HS Graduation Rate     |               |               |             |             |   |        |      |        |
| Hs Drop-Out Rate       |               |               |             |             |   |        |      |        |
| Gender                 | M<br>214/52.3 | F<br>203/47.7 | M<br>214/55 | F<br>178/45 | M | F      | M    | F      |
| Caucasian              | 209           | 191           | 212         | 161         |   |        |      |        |
| African-American       |               |               |             | 1           |   |        |      |        |
| Hispanic               | 2             | 4             | 1           | 8           |   |        |      |        |
| Other (Specify) Indian |               | 2             |             | 1           |   |        |      |        |
| Multiethnic            | 3             | 6             | 1           | 7           |   |        |      |        |

#### Observations of 2011-2012

- Truancy rate is zero for the past four years.
- The 09-10 enrollment dropped by 12 students to 409 from the 08-09 school year.
- The 10-11 enrollment dropped by 17 students to 392 from the 09-10 school year.
- The 10-11 mobility rate of students increased by 2.2 percentage points from the 09-10 school year.
- The percentage of students that transferred out increased by 1.7 percentage points from 09-10 to 10-11 school year.
- Three more students transferred in the district during the year than transferred out during the 10-11 school year.
- In 10-11 there are 36 more male students than female students in the elementary.
- Two students were retained during the 10-11 school year.
- The enrollment dropped each of the past six years, from 453 in 05-06 to 392 in 10-11, a decrease of 61 students, (13%).
- In the past five years, the attendance rate has ranged from a low of 94.3% in 05-06, to a high of 95% in 08-09.
- The 10-11 attendance rate increased by .3 percentage points to 94.7 when compared to the 94.4 during the 09-10 school year.
- From 2006 to 2010, the percentage of students that are considered low income has increased. From 48.7% to 56%.

Table 6:

## **Enrollment Data Fall Housing Report**

|                        | 2005 - 2006 |      | 2006 - 2007 |      | 2007 | - 2008 |
|------------------------|-------------|------|-------------|------|------|--------|
| Grade Levels in School |             |      |             |      |      |        |
|                        | #           | %    | #           | %    | #    | %      |
| School Population      | 446         |      | 446         |      | 427  |        |
| Grade K                | 68          | 13.9 | 65          | 15.2 | 60   | 14.1   |
| Grade 1                | 67          | 16.3 | 76          | 17   | 67   | 15.7   |
| Grade 2                | 77          | 17.3 | 78          | 17.3 | 73   | 17.1   |
| Grade 3                | 77          | 17.3 | 82          | 17.3 | 73   | 17.1   |
| Grade 4                | 79          | 17.8 | 75          | 16.8 | 72   | 16.9   |
| Grade 5                | 78          | 17.4 | 88          | 17.5 | 82   | 19.2   |

|                        | 2008 - | 2009 | 2009 | - 2010 | 2010 | - 2011 | 2011 - | 2012 |
|------------------------|--------|------|------|--------|------|--------|--------|------|
| Grade Levels in School |        |      |      |        |      |        |        |      |
|                        | #      | %    | #    | #      | %    | %      | #      | %    |
| School Population      | 423    |      | 409  | 391    | 391  |        | 375    |      |
| Grade K                | 66     | 15.6 | 70   | 17.1   | 66   | 16.9   | 46     | 12.3 |
| Grade 1                | 61     | 14.4 | 62   | 15.2   | 67   | 17.1   | 65     | 17.3 |
| Grade 2                | 68     | 16.1 | 64   | 15.6   | 60   | 15.3   | 68     | 18.1 |
| Grade 3                | 78     | 18.4 | 72   | 17.6   | 57   | 14.5   | 60     | 16   |
| Grade 4                | 71     | 16.8 | 70   | 17.1   | 72   | 18.4   | 60     | 16   |
| Grade 5                | 79     | 18.8 | 71   | 17.4   | 69   | 17.6   | 76     | 20.3 |

#### **Observations of 2011 – 2012**

- Overall enrollment decreased in the last six years by 71 students.
- In 11 − 12, the number of total students enrolled is 16 less than 10-11.
- The number of students has decreased by 34 students from 09-10 to 11-12.
- Kindergarten enrollment decreased by 20 students from 10-11 to 11-12.
- 5th Grade has the highest percentage of students with 20.3%.
- Kindergarten has the lowest percentage of students with 12.3%.
- When looking at future enrollment, there are in 11-12 179 students in grades  $K 2^{nd}$  compared to 196 students in grades  $3^{rd} 5^{th}$ .
- The 5<sup>th</sup> Grade in 2010 had 69 students and when that class was in Kindergarten, there were 68 students.
- The 2011 5<sup>th</sup> Grade class started in 06-07 students with 65 as Kindergarten students and now in 11-12 as 5<sup>th</sup> Grade students has increased by 11 students to 76 students.

#### Table 7:

#### **Special Education Student Subgroup Enrollment**

\*Students with IEPs; Data provided by school psychologist when received by state report in October. Students may receive services in more than one area.

|                                 | 05 - 06 | 06 - 07 | 07 - 08 | 08 - 09 | 09-10 | 10-11 | 11-12 |
|---------------------------------|---------|---------|---------|---------|-------|-------|-------|
|                                 | #       | #       | #       | #       | #     | #     | #     |
| Total Special Education*        | 115     | 107     | 82      | 69      | 79    | 58    | 54    |
| Mental Impairment               | 12      | 10      | 8       | 8       | 5     | 5     | 1     |
| Learning Impairment             |         |         |         |         |       |       |       |
| Speech or Language Imp          | 58      | 57      | 56      | 58      | 55    | 49    | 42    |
| Visual Impairment               |         |         |         |         |       |       |       |
| <b>Emotionally Disturbed</b>    |         | 3       | 2       | 2       | 1     | 1     | 2     |
| Orthopedic                      |         |         |         |         |       |       |       |
| Other Health Impairment         | 11      | 10      | 6       | 6       | 12    | 9     | 2     |
| Specific Learning<br>Disability | 34      | 27      | 15      | 11      | 8     | 4     | 11    |
| Autism                          |         |         |         | 3       | 3     | 4     | 3     |
| <b>Development Delay</b>        |         |         |         |         | 2     | 3     | 3     |
| Deaf/Blindness                  |         |         |         |         |       |       |       |

#### **Observations 2011 - 2012**

- The number of students that are being served in Special Education has decreased by 4 students from the 11-12 school year when compared to the 10-11 school year.
- The number of students that are being served with Speech and Language Impairment has decreased by 7 students from the 11-12 school year when compared to the 10-11 school year.
- The total number of Special Education students has declined by 61 students during the past seven years.
- The number of autistic children has decreased by 1 student when compared to the 10-11 school year.
- There are no students that are classified as learning impaired, visually impaired, orthopedic, or deaf/blind students during 11-12 school year.
- Students identified as specific learning disabled has decreased from 34 to 11 since the 05-06 school year, a decrease of 23 students.
- With the exception of Speech or Language impairment, the largest identified area of special education students is Specific Learning Disability with 11 students identified in the 11-12 school year.
- The number of students that are classified as mentally impaired has decreased from 12 students in the 05-06 school year to 1 student in the 11-12 school year.
- Prior to the 2008-2009 school year, no West Central Students were identified on the autism spectrum.

#### **Demographic Comparisons and Trends**

The enrollment for 06 - 07 declined from 466 students in 05 - 06 to 446 students. This is a drop in enrollment by 20 students. The average class size during 05 - 06 was 21.18 students per classroom teacher, and the average class size for 06 - 07 was 18.58 students per classroom teacher. There were 22 classroom teachers in 05 - 06 and there were 24 classroom teachers in 06 - 07.

The enrollment for the 07 - 08 school year declined from 446 students in 06 - 07 to 427 students. This is a drop of 19 students. This is a decrease of 39 students over the past two years. The average class size was 17.8 students. There were 24 classroom teachers during 07 - 08.

The enrollment for 08 - 09 is 423 students. This is a decrease of 4 students from the preceding year. There are 24 classroom teachers and the average class size is 17.6. The enrollment during the past four years has decreased by 23 students.

The enrollment for 09 - 10 is 409 students. This is a decrease of 14 students from the preceding year. There are 24 classroom teachers and the average class size is 17.4 students. The enrollment during the past 5 years has decreased by 37 students. 49 per cent of our students are male.

The enrollment for 10 - 11 is 391 students. This is a decrease of 18 students from the preceding year. There are 23 classroom teachers and the average class size is 17 students. All Kindergarten through Fifth Grade has four sections except Third Grade, which has three sections. The enrollment during the past 6 years has decreased by 55 students. 52 per cent of our students are male.

The enrollment for 11 - 12 is 371 students. This is a decrease of 20 students from the preceding year. There are 21 classroom teachers and the average class size is 17.8 students. First Grade, Second Grade, and Fifth Grade each have four sections. Kindergarten, Third Grade, and Fourth Grade each have three sections. The enrollment during the past 6 years has decreased by 71 students. 54 per cent of our students are male.

## II.4 PROGRAM DATA Table 8:

#### **Educator Data**

|   | 06 - 07 | 07 - 08 | 08 - 09 | 09-10 | 10-11 | 11-12 |
|---|---------|---------|---------|-------|-------|-------|
| Total Full Time Classroom Teachers              | 34      | 34      | 34      | 34    | 33    | 31    |
| Average Years Teaching                          | 15.4    | 13.1    | 13      | 13.9  | 14.2  | 13.9  |
| # Teachers New to Building                      | 7       | 1       | 3       | 1     | 1     | 1     |
| # First Year Teachers                           | 3       | 0       | 2       | 1     | 1     | 1     |
| # with B. A. Degree                             | 27      | 27      | 25      | 25    | 24    | 22    |
| # with M.A. & Above                             | 8       | 7       | 9       | 9     | 9     | 10    |
| # with Emergency or Provisional<br>Certificates | 0       | 0       | 0       | 0     | 0     | 0     |
| # Teachers Working Out of Field                 | 0       | 0       | 0       | 0     | 0     | 0     |
| % Caucasian Teachers                            | 100%    | 100%    | 100%    | 100%  | 100%  | 100%  |
| # Male Teachers                                 | 4       | 4       | 2.5     | 2.5   | 3.75  | 4.75  |
| # Female Teachers                               | 30      | 30      | 30.75   | 30.75 | 29    | 26    |
| # Total Paraprofessionals                       | 18      | 18      | 16      | 18    | 16    | 15    |
| # Classroom Instructional<br>Paraprofessionals  | 3       | 3       | 3       | 3     | 3     | 3     |
| # Total Under-qualified Paraprofessionals       | 0       | 0       | 0       | 0     | 0     | 0     |
| # Total Counselors                              | 0       | 0       | 0       | 0     | 0     | 0     |
| # Total Librarians                              | .5      | .5      | .5      | .5    | .5    | .5    |
| # Total Social Workers/Psychologists            | 2       | 2       | 1       | 1     | 1     | 1     |
| # Total Other Staff                             | 5       | 5       | 5       | 5     | 5     | 5     |
| # Speech Pathologist                            | 1       | 1       | 1       | 1     | .80   | .80   |
| # National Board Certified Teacher              |         |         |         |       | 1     | 4     |

- Out-of-field means that a teacher is teaching a class for which he/she has no certification in academic major or endorsement with sufficient credit hours in the content area taught.
- ➤ Under-qualified paraprofessional means that the paraprofessional has less than 2 years of training and/or education degree.
- Social Worker and Psychologists is shared with the rest of the district.

#### Observations of 2011 - 2012

- There is one new teacher in his first year of teaching.
- The average years of teaching experience decreased by .25 to 13.9 years from 10-11 to 11-12.
  - There is a decrease in teachers by two when comparing 11-12 to 10-11.
- The number of full time classroom teachers declined by 3 from 09-10 to 11-12.
- There are two fewer paraprofessional in 10-11 when compared to 09-10.
- There is not an elementary counselor.
- All teachers are highly qualified and teaching in their qualified field.
- All paraprofessionals are highly qualified.
- 100% of the teachers are Caucasian.
- The number of teachers that have a Master's Degree increased to 10 teachers during the 10-11 school year.
- The number of teachers that are male increased by 1 from 10-11 to 11-12.
- The Speech Pathologist is shared with another building.
- In the past five years, 5 of the 31 teachers are new to the building.
- In 10-11, one Teacher met the criteria established by the National Board for Professional Teaching Standards in Early and Middle Childhood/Literacy: Reading-Language Arts. Three additional Teachers qualified during the 2011-2012 school year. Two additional teachers in the elementary are working towards National Certification.
- The number of classroom instructional paraprofessionals has remained constant at three.
- 29 percent of the teachers have a Masters Degree.

#### **Teacher Daily Schedule**

#### Table 9:

#### 2010 – 2011 Daily Teacher Schedule

| Average daily minutes s | Math | Science/SS | F. Evel on each | Lunch/Recess 123 | Title 1 | Additional<br>Recess | TOTAL<br>Lang Arts<br>BLOCK | Reading | Word Work | Writing | SSR |
|-------------------------|------|------------|-----------------|------------------|---------|----------------------|-----------------------------|---------|-----------|---------|-----|
| 771                     |      |            |                 |                  |         | , , ,                | -                           |         |           |         |     |
| Kindergarten            | 86   | 20         | 40              | 40               | 30      | 6                    | 140                         | 50      | 30        | 35      | 25  |
| Grade 1                 | 75   | 10         | 40              | 40               | 30      | 10                   | 155                         | 50      | 35        | 35      | 35  |
| Grade 2                 | 66   | 10         | 40              | 40               | 30      | 5                    | 167                         | 53      | 28        | 45      | 41  |
| Grade 3                 | 60   | 35         | 40              | 40               | 30      | 0                    | 170                         | 65      | 35        | 35      | 35  |
| Grade 4                 | 50   | 60         | 40              | 40               | 30      | 0                    | 150                         | 45      | 30        | 45      | 30  |
| Grade 5                 | 60   | 45         | 40              | 40               | 30      | 0                    | 167                         | 50      | 18        | 43      | 56  |

#### 2011 – 2012 Daily Teacher Schedule

| Average  | dailv | minutes s | nent  | dailv | hv  | orade i | level | οn  | each subje  | ect |
|----------|-------|-----------|-------|-------|-----|---------|-------|-----|-------------|-----|
| II TOTAL | uuiiy | minutes   | PCIII | uuiiy | N., | SI auc  | 10101 | UII | cacii subje |     |

| 11 - 12      | Math | Science/SS | P.E. | Lunch/Recess | Tide 1 | Additional<br>Recess | TOTAL<br>Lang Arts<br>BLOCK | Reading | Word Work | Writing | SSR |
|--------------|------|------------|------|--------------|--------|----------------------|-----------------------------|---------|-----------|---------|-----|
| Kindergarten | 86   | 20         | 40   | 40           | 35     | 6                    | 140                         | 50      | 30        | 35      | 25  |
| Grade 1      | 75   | 10         | 40   | 40           | 30     | 0                    | 165                         | 60      | 35        | 35      | 35  |
| Grade 2      | 60   | 10         | 40   | 40           | 30     | 0                    | 200                         | 50      | 45        | 60      | 45  |
| Grade 3      | 70   | 32         | 40   | 40           | 30     | 0                    | 160                         | 60      | 33        | 32      | 35  |
| Grade 4      | 75   | 45         | 40   | 40           | 0      | 0                    | 160                         | 45      | 30        | 45      | 40  |
| Grade 5      | 70   | 53         | 40   | 40           | 0      | 0                    | 162                         | 55      | 20        | 41      | 46  |

#### Observations for 11 - 12

- Time does not include computer or keyboarding instruction.
- Second Grade Math instruction decreased by 6 minutes daily in 11-12 when compared to the prior year.
- Third Grade Math instruction increased by 10 minutes daily in 11-12 when compared to the prior year.
- Fourth Grade Math instruction increased by 25 minutes daily in 11-12 compared to the prior year.
- Fifth Grade Math instruction increased by 10 minutes daily in 11-12 when compared to the prior year.
- Fourth Grade Science/Social Studies instruction decreased by 15 minutes daily in 11-12 compared to the prior year.
- Fifth Grade Science/Social Studies instruction increased by 8 minutes daily in 11-12 compared to the prior year.
- First Grade Language Arts instruction increased by 10 minutes daily in 11-12 compared to the prior year.
- Second Grade Language Arts instruction increased by 33 minutes daily in 11-12 compared to the prior year.
- Third Grade Language Arts instruction decreased by 10 minutes daily in 11-12 compared to the prior year.
- Fourth Grade Language Arts instruction increased by 10 minutes daily in 11-12 compared to the prior year.
- Fifth Grade Language Arts instruction decreased by 5 minutes daily in 11-12 compared to the prior year.
- The amount of time devoted to Silent Sustained Reading increased by 10 minutes in 4<sup>th</sup> Grade when compared to the preceding year.
- The amount of time devoted to Silent Sustained Reading decreased by 10 minutes in 5<sup>th</sup> Grade when compared to the preceding year.
- Kindergarten devotes the most time to Mathematic instruction during the typical school day when comparing the six different grade levels.
- Kindergarten devotes the least amount of time to Language Arts instruction during the typical school day when comparing the six different grade levels.
- Fifth Grade devotes the most time to Science/Social Studies instruction during the typical school day.
- Second Grade devotes the most time to Language Arts instruction during the typical school day.
- First and Third Grade devotes the most time to Reading instruction during the typical school day.

#### Table 10:

#### **Professional Growth Data**

| Торіс  | MONTH<br>YEAR | GRADE<br>LEVELS           | SCHOOL<br>WIDE<br>(YES/NO) | FORMAT                            |
|--|---------------|---------------------------|----------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| Workshop on Increasing<br>Male Students' Academic<br>Scores in Reading & Math  | Sept 18, 2007 | K - 5                     | Yes                        | Interactive<br>Presentation       |
| ISAT Writing   | Jan 08        | K - 5                     | No                         | Workshop                          |
| Illinois Reading Conference  | Mar 08        | K - 5                     | No                         | State<br>Conference               |
| Writing Workshop   | Summer 08     | K - 12                    | No                         | Workshop                          |
| Boys and Reading   | Summer 08     | K - 12                    | No                         | Workshop                          |
| Stuff You Can Use for<br>Managing and Motivating<br>All Students               | Aug 08        | K - 8                     | No                         | Workshop                          |
| Accelerated Reading  | Aug 08        | K - 5                     | Yes                        | Workshop                          |
| <b>Poverty Simulation</b>  | Aug 08        | K - 12                    | Yes                        | Workshop                          |
| Differentiated Instruction   | Oct 08        | K - 5                     | Yes                        | Workshop                          |
| Strategies for Teaching<br>Higher Order Thinking and<br>Questioning Techniques | Nov 08        | K - 5                     | Yes                        | Workshop                          |
| Writing Follow Up<br>Workshop  | Jan 09        | K - 12                    | No                         | Workshop                          |
| Cooperative Learning<br>Workshop   | Feb 09        | K - 12                    | No                         | Workshop                          |
| Music Across the<br>Curriculum   | Feb 09        | K - 12                    | No                         | Workshop                          |
| Math Across the Curriculum   | Feb 09        | K - 12                    | No                         | Workshop                          |
| Higher Order Thinking  | Feb 09        | K – 5                     | Yes                        | Workshop                          |
| Writing Workshop   | Feb 09        | K – 12                    | No                         | Workshop                          |
| Writing Analysis   | June 09       | K – 12                    | No                         | Workshop                          |
| <b>Understanding Poverty</b>   | June 09       | All District<br>Employees | No                         | Workshop                          |
| Math Curriculum Mapping  | July 09       | K – 12                    | No                         | Collaborative<br>Discussion       |
| Algebraic Thinking   | August 09     | K – 5                     | Yes                        | Workshop                          |
| Accelerated Reader Webinar provided by Renaissance Learning                    | October 09    | K – 5                     | Yes                        | Interactive<br>Workshop<br>Online |
| Higher Order Thinking<br>Skills by Dr. Castle                                  | November 09   | K – 5                     | Yes                        | Workshop                          |
| Write to Learn Workshop  | February 10   | K - 12                    | No                         | Workshop                          |
| Writing Workshop-<br>Alignment of district writing<br>curriculum               | June 10       | K – 12                    | No                         | Workshop                          |

| Four Block Literacy<br>Refresher Training   | August 10                            | K – 5  | Yes | Workshop                    |
|---|--------------------------------------|--------|-----|-----------------------------|
| Experiencing student disabilities and co-teaching in an inclusion setting                         | August 10                            | K – 12 | Yes | Workshop                    |
| AIMSweb Training  | August 10                            | K – 5  | Yes | Workshop                    |
| Technology Workshop on SOCS (District website)  | August 10                            | K – 5  | Yes | Workshop                    |
| Accelerated Reading<br>Webinar on individual goal<br>setting                                      | September 10                         | K – 5  | Yes | Webinar                     |
| Discussion of eight components of providing reading instruction                                   | 2 components<br>per quarter<br>10-11 | K – 5  | Yes | Workshop                    |
| State Standards related to<br>ISAT Assessment in Reading<br>by Roni Haller-Ohara                  | October 10                           | 2-5    | No  | Workshop                    |
| State Standards related to<br>Extended Response on the<br>ISAT Assessment by Roni<br>Haller-Ohara | November 10                          | 2-5    | No  | Workshop                    |
| Building Background<br>Knowledge in Reading by<br>Judy Johnston                                   | August 11                            | K - 5  | Yes | Workshop                    |
| Accelerated Math Webinar by Renaissance Learning  | August 11                            | K - 5  | Yes | Webinar                     |
| Technology update<br>presenting lessons using<br>wireless technology with the<br>Elmo             | August 11                            | K - 5  | Yes | Workshop                    |
| Workshop on implementing PBIS   | August 11                            | K - 5  | Yes | Workshop                    |
| Implementation of the<br>Common Core Learning<br>Standards  | August 11                            | K - 12 | Yes | Workshop                    |
| Writing Data Driven<br>Individual Education Plan<br>Goals   | September 11                         | K - 5  | No  | Workshop                    |
| Implementation of the<br>Common Core Learning<br>Standards—Focus on Math                          | September 11<br>December 11          | K - 5  | Yes | Collaborative<br>Discussion |
| Implementation of the<br>Common Core Learning<br>Standards—Focus on<br>Language Arts              | October 11<br>November 11            | K - 5  | Yes | Collaborative<br>Discussion |

#### Observations in 2011 - 2012 (as of January, 2012)

- With March 10 beginning the new cycle of school improvement activities, there are a total of 10 staff development activities offered for elementary staff development for the 10 11 school year.
- Individual college courses are no longer being included in the staff development chart.
- There have been ten staff development opportunities provided to all certified staff.
- Five of the ten activities since February 10, 2011, are directly related to implementation of the new Common Core Standards..
- Seven of the ten staff development activities were provided by individuals not employed by the district.
- The reading background workshop was provided by the district writing consultant.
- The writing data driven individual education plan goals workshop was provided by the district special education consultant.
- 40 different workshops have been offered since 2007. 12 focused on reading—6 focused on math—8 focused on writing. The other 14 focused on a variety of subjects.
- Of the 40 workshops, 22 were offered to all elementary teachers. 14 were offered to all K-12 teachers. 1 workshop was for all district employees.

**Table 11:** 

#### **Student Discipline Data**

Number of Students Per Grade Level Who Have Received 1 or More Referrals (Bus and School)

|                       | Semester 1   | Semester 2 |  |  |
|-----------------------|--------------|------------|--|--|
|                       | 2011-2012    | 2011-2012  |  |  |
|                       |              |            |  |  |
| Kindergarten          | 50           |            |  |  |
|                       |              |            |  |  |
| 1 <sup>st</sup> grade | 11           |            |  |  |
|                       |              |            |  |  |
| 2 <sup>nd</sup> grade | 11           |            |  |  |
|                       |              |            |  |  |
| 3 <sup>rd</sup> grade | 13           |            |  |  |
|                       |              |            |  |  |
| 4 <sup>th</sup> grade | 4            |            |  |  |
|                       |              |            |  |  |
| 5 <sup>th</sup> grade | 23           |            |  |  |
| Total                 | 67 out of    |            |  |  |
| Referrals             | 372 Students |            |  |  |

Types of Behavior as Reported on All Referrals (Bus and School)

|               | Semester 1 | Semester 2 |  |  |
|---------------|------------|------------|--|--|
|               | 2011-2012  | 2011-2012  |  |  |
|               |            |            |  |  |
| Disruptive    | 15         |            |  |  |
| Physical      |            |            |  |  |
| aggression    | 64         |            |  |  |
|               |            |            |  |  |
| Fighting      | 12         |            |  |  |
|               |            |            |  |  |
| Disrespect    | 78         |            |  |  |
|               |            |            |  |  |
| Harassment    | 8          |            |  |  |
| Inappropriate |            |            |  |  |
| Language      | 11         |            |  |  |
| Property      |            |            |  |  |
| damage        | 11         |            |  |  |
|               |            |            |  |  |
| Other         | 19         |            |  |  |
|               |            |            |  |  |
| Total         | 218        |            |  |  |

#### Suspensions

|     | Semester 1<br>2011-2012 | Semester 2<br>2011-2012 |  |  |
|-----|-------------------------|-------------------------|--|--|
| OSS | 4.5 days (5 students)   |                         |  |  |
| ISS | 9.5 days (10 students)  |                         |  |  |
| Bus | 22 days<br>(7 students) |                         |  |  |

#### Number of Referrals Based on 5 Top Locations

|           | Semester 1       | Semester 2 |  |  |
|-----------|------------------|------------|--|--|
|           | 2011-2012        | 2011-2012  |  |  |
|           | Total Referrals= |            |  |  |
|           | 218              |            |  |  |
|           |                  |            |  |  |
| Bus       | 89 (49%)         |            |  |  |
|           |                  |            |  |  |
| Classroom | 58 (27%)         |            |  |  |
|           |                  |            |  |  |
| Hallway   | 19 (9%)          |            |  |  |
|           |                  |            |  |  |
| Recess    | 17 (8%)          |            |  |  |
|           |                  |            |  |  |
| Specials  | 17 (8%)          |            |  |  |

#### Special Education, Math, and English Audit Reports 08 – 09

These audits were conducted as a component of the school improvement action plans at the request of Building School Improvement Teams and District Administrators as part of our ongoing school improvement process. The purpose was to provide qualified outside consultants the opportunity to conduct onsite visits in our schools, observe in classrooms, examine assessment data and curriculum, and speak with staff and students in order to provide recommendations to improve the teaching and learning in our schools. The search for these consultants was conducted through the Illinois Association of Supervision and Curriculum Development listsery, the Illinois Association of Teachers of English listsery and the Illinois Council of Teachers of Mathematics listsery, along with the assistance of our Regional Office of Education. Applicants were interviewed and references were contacted in order to find the best individuals to conduct the audits for our district. The funding for these audits was obtained through our District NCLB Title II and RESPRO grants. What follows is a brief listing of the recommendations from each audit that applied to our building:

Elementary suggestions to explore as a result of the English, Math, and Special Education Audits conducted in the spring and fall 08.

#### English

Continue with staff development opportunities for writing.

Continue with implementation of a writing curriculum.

#### Math

- Review the newest edition of the Saxon Math textbooks and evaluate them in the area of improved problem solving skills for 4<sup>th</sup> and 5<sup>th</sup> Grade.
- Review of software that is currently available to improve problem solving skills of all of our students.
- Review of where we are and what we need to fully implement Accelerated Math into every upper level classroom.

#### Special Education

• Continue efforts to meet the grade level expectations for our special needs population.

Higher order thinking skills and questioning techniques need to be increased building wide.

The reports also encouraged that time be provided for the 5<sup>th</sup> Grade Teachers to meet with the Middle School Teachers on what skills are necessary to improve the transition between 5<sup>th</sup> and 6<sup>th</sup> Grade.

#### **Curriculum Implementation Data**

**Language Arts, Reading, and Curriculum Description:** The Four-Block framework was implemented during the 05 - 06 school year. This framework is used in Grades K - 5<sup>th</sup>, with Kindergarten using Building Blocks, Grades 1<sup>st</sup>, 2<sup>nd</sup>, 3<sup>rd</sup> using Four Blocks, and Grades 4<sup>th</sup>, 5<sup>th</sup> using Big Blocks. The Four-Block framework consists of 4 different areas of focus. These include Working with Words, Guided Reading, Writing, and Self-Selected Reading. Each grade level has at least 16 exit outcomes for the school year. These outcomes have been aligned with the Illinois State Standards. In the 06 - 07 school year a new Houghton-Mifflin Reading series was purchased for Grades K - 3<sup>rd</sup>. This will help to provide continuity in and among grade levels for the material being taught.

**Math Curriculum:** All Grades  $K-5^{th}$  use Saxon Math. Saxon Math stresses incremental development. Concepts are practiced frequently and extensively over the school year. Grades 1 and 2, have daily meeting board activities and use manipulative on a regular basis. Supplemental materials are added as needed for extra practice throughout the grade levels. Accelerated Math software was updated to include Kindergarten and  $1^{st}$  Grade. This program continues to provide support for problem solving skills of all  $K-5^{th}$  Grade students. Grades K-2 uses 2001 Saxon Math worksheets. New 2012 edition Saxon Math books were purchased for the  $3^{rd}$  and  $4^{th}$  Grade for the 2011-2012 school year. New 2008 edition Saxon Math books were purchased for the  $5^{th}$  Grade prior to the start of the 2010-2011 school year.

**Social Studies Curriculum:** The Elementary Social Studies curriculum in the lower grades is based on thematic units involving people or events in American History. Students will also explore family life, job careers, and community roles. Beginning in the 3<sup>rd</sup> Grade, the students begin to explore how units of government work and an introduction into Illinois history. 4<sup>th</sup> and 5<sup>th</sup> Grades use a text from McGraw Hill and study early American History. 1<sup>st</sup> - 5<sup>th</sup> Grades work on map skills appropriate to their grade level and use Weekly Reader or Scholastic News magazine as a reference material. These magazines are also utilized to introduce and reinforce geographic map skills and understanding graphs.

**Science Curriculum:** The Elementary Science curriculum in Grades K, 1<sup>st</sup>, 2<sup>nd</sup> is based around thematic units. Supplemental materials are used for these, with field trips that correspond with the units. 3<sup>rd</sup>, 4<sup>th</sup> and 5<sup>th</sup> Grades use Scott Foresman textbooks. 1<sup>st</sup> - 5<sup>th</sup> Grades also use Weekly Reader as a reference material.

**Writing Curriculum:** The purpose of the Writing curriculum is to provide students with the support needed to express themselves clearly and effectively to others. It is our belief that in order to accomplish this, students must be offered the following instructional opportunities: time to write each and every day, knowledge of and practice in the writing process, the teacher's role is as a coach to the writer, and that skills are not taught in isolation but in the context of the written pieces. Samples of K - 12 writing were evaluated during the summer of 2009 and from this process a Writing curriculum for the elementary was established. Each grade has defined the writing expectations and aligned the

expectations to the Illinois Learning Standards. Writing workshops presented to the entire elementary staff continue to aid in the advancement of the writing curriculum. Samples were then reviewed again in the Spring of 2010 with a few adjustments made to the curriculum.

**Title I Program:** The Title I program assists all grades in focusing on exit outcomes performance, basic reading skills and strategies, ISAT preparation, and RtI interventions. It also provides support and resources to strengthen the classroom instruction. Title does screening and diagnostic testing on a regular basis. The analysis of these tests provides focus for the teacher's instruction. In the morning, each Title I Teacher and two associates go into the Kindergarten, First, and Second Grade classrooms for thirty minutes in each classroom. This makes a team of four to give intensive small group instruction at the student's ability level focusing on the student's developing reading needs. The afternoon consists of the Title I teachers working with small groups of students on individualized instruction in Reading and Math.

**Special Education:** Students with Individualized Education Plans receive special services from the Special Education Department. The range of services received is dependent upon the individual needs of the student. Many of these students leave the regular classroom for Language Arts and Mathematics classes. Others simply receive a little extra assistance during Study Hall. Often, different small groups are occurring simultaneously in the classroom. Instruction in the Resource Room attempts to teach grade level curriculum at every opportunity and Special Education students are responsible for grade level exit outcomes and are included in the grade level totals. In the upper elementary grades, Special Education teachers adapt Science and Social Studies. In the 06 - 07 school year, we began to implement the RtI system. RtI, or Response to Intervention, is an emerging approach to the diagnosis of Learning Disabilities. In the RtI model, a student with academic delays is given one or more research-validated interventions. The student's academic progress is monitored frequently to see if those interventions are sufficient to help the student catch up with his or her peers. If the student fails to show significantly improved academic skills despite several well-designed and implemented interventions, this failure to "respond to intervention" can be viewed as evidence of an underlying Learning Disability. One advantage of RtI in the diagnosis of educational disabilities is that it allows schools to intervene early to meet the needs of struggling learners. Another is that RtI maps those specific instructional strategies found to benefit a particular student. This information can be very helpful to both teachers and parents. In the 07 - 08 school year, two teams were created from RtI. One team, RtI, will continue with students that may qualify for special education services, and one team will be called TAT and will work with students that may be having behavioral issues in the classroom. In fall of 09, new technology introduced into our Special Education classrooms included interactive SmartBoards, updated student computers and document cameras.

**Physical Education:** The West Central physical education program for elementary students is designed to develop the cognitive, affective, and psychomotor domains of students by providing a developmentally appropriate and sequentially progressive program. The program enables students to acquire the basic foundations of movement skills and strategies needed to participate in a variety of games and sports. Specific aspects of the program will aid in the development of higher fitness levels, thinking and problem solving, time, cooperation (sportsmanship) and decision-making skills. These activities help to prepare them for adult life and the world of work.

**Art Education:** The West Central Art Program for elementary students works toward meeting several different goals. One is that students should be able to observe, name, and describe basic components in art. Another is that students should know about basic tools and can shape simple ideas and emotions into visual art. Students should also know about artistic tools and how they are used in art works. They should be able to purposefully shape (plan and execute) visual art work to express an idea. Elementary students should also know what artists do, where they work, and where their works are displayed. To help attain these goals, time spent weekly in art class was extended in the 07 - 08 school year. Instead of meeting for 35 minutes once a week, each class now meets for at least 50 minutes once a week.

**Enrichment Program:** The West Central CUSD #235 Board of Education, has approved that the district provide an after school enrichment program one day a week for some of our academically-talented students. The intent of program is to provide an appropriate program of enrichment focused on the integration of technology in multi-disciplinary units of study, the development of individual student interests, growth in higher-level thinking skills, and the provision of an opportunity for

interaction with other talented children in the district. This program began in Fall 06. Approximately 25 students in Grades 3<sup>rd</sup> - 5<sup>th</sup> participate in this program.

**Tutoring Program:** A tutorial program was implemented in Fall 06. This program is free to our 4<sup>th</sup> and 5<sup>th</sup> Grade students. This program is funded by the district. It meets on Tuesday and Thursday after school. Academic assistance is provided in a variety of subject areas. Free transportation is provided to Gladstone, Oquawka and Stronghurst. On a normal day, 10 to 15 students receive this additional assistance.

**Keyboarding/Computer:** All 3<sup>rd</sup> – 5<sup>th</sup> Grade students use Ainsworth Keyboard Trainer 5 as our training program. This program allows students to work individually and encourages correct finger positioning. The 2<sup>nd</sup> Graders are using Microsoft Word (word processing) and are typing their wordwall words and weekly spelling words. They are learning to use both hands at the keyboard and to distinguish between left-hand keys and right-hand keys. The lab is also utilized by K - 5<sup>th</sup> Grades, when available, to take the Star Reading tests, as well as Accelerated Reading tests. The lab is also available for classes to use the internet for research, educational games and to view United Streaming videos. It also houses a SMART Board for interactive use.

Positive Behavioral Intervention Program: West Central Elementary implemented during the 2011-2012 school year a behavioral program called PBIS which stands for Positive Behavior Intervention Program. Training was provided by the West Central Special Education Cooperative. Through the use of cool tools, teachers are able to instruct the students on how to behave properly in a variety of settings. PBIS stresses positive behavior through the use of Heat Bucks. Students earn Heat Bucks by behaving properly and making good choices on the school bus and while in school. Students are able to spend their Heat Bucks in the school Heat Store once a month. By setting forth clear expectations. West Central Elementary is attempting to create an environment in which all students can be successful.

Response to Intervention: Response to Intervention (RtI) is an academic intervention program. It provides early interventions to children who are having difficulties learning. Students receive intensive research-based interventions in small group settings or one-on-one settings. Through frequent progress monitoring, teachers and parents are able to see the progress the students are making and the specific areas of difficulties that need to be targeted. Students receiving Tier 3 (one-on-one) interventions are met on approximately every six weeks. During these meetings, the RtI committee, classroom teacher, Title teacher, and parents review the interventions and the progress being made. New goals are set every six weeks until a student either progresses out of the RtI program or an individualized education plan is created.

**Library Services:** The Elementary has approximately 25,783 books available to students. Accelerated Reader provides access to over 120,000 quizzes on books. Discovery Education Streaming provides access to 5000 full length videos tied directly to state and national standards through the internet. We belong to the Alliance Library System of Peoria, Illinois, that provides our students and staff with access to books found in other libraries. The Henderson County Library stops once a week providing additional services to our students.

**2009 - 2010 Parent/School Compact:** The parent-school compact was included in the 09 - 10 student handbook. We have had a 100% parent signage acknowledging receipt of the student handbook. **2010 - 2011 Parent/School Compact:** The parent-school compact was included in the 10 - 11 student handbook. We have had a 100% parent signage acknowledging receipt of the student handbook. **2011 - 2012 Parent/School Compact:** The parent-school compact was included in the 11 - 12 student handbook. We have had a 100% parent signage acknowledging receipt of the student handbook.

#### **Program Comparisons and Trends**

During the 06 - 07 school year, all certified and support staff met the definition of highly qualified as defined in No Child Left Behind. Due to increases in enrollment in the lower grades, the West Central school board increased the number of teachers by two in August of 06. There has been an increase of staff development opportunities offered on the West Central premises, which has increased the number of staff members able to participate. In the 06 - 07 school year, a new reading text book series was

purchased for Grades  $K - 3^{rd}$ . In the Fall of 06, an enrichment program and tutoring program were implemented. In the 06 - 07 school year, we began to implement the RTI system. RTI, or Response to Intervention, is an emerging approach to the diagnosis of Learning Disabilities.

During the 07 - 08 school year, all certified and support staff met the definition of highly qualified as defined in No Child Left Behind. Art education, as a result of a Fine Arts Grant, has been expanded from 35 minutes per week, to at least 50 minutes per week. Keyboarding instruction has been added for students in Grades  $2^{nd} - 5^{th}$ . The Olweus Anti-Bullying Program is being implemented for students in Kindergarten -  $8^{th}$  Grades.

During the 08 - 09 school year, all certified and support staff met the definition of highly qualified as defined in No Child Left Behind. The Olweus Anti Bullying Program has added Red Ribbon Week, recognition of students for making a positive difference through a "Be A Buddy" program, and a bullying prevention week of activities. Writing style and format have been emphasized this year with writing formats and expectations developed for each grade level. Low class size has been maintained with four sections at each grade level. The average class size is approximately 18 students. A new communication tool, called Connect Ed, has been added that allows for additional communication through the phone system.

During the 09 - 10 school year, all certified and support staff met the definition of highly qualified as defined in No Child Left Behind. The Olweus Anti-Bullying Program has added Red Ribbon Week, recognition of students for making a positive difference through a "Be A Buddy" program, and a bullying prevention activity once a month. Low class size has been maintained with four sections at each grade level. The average class size is approximately 17 students. Grades  $2^{\rm nd} - 5^{\rm th}$  are working on increasing reading fluency using a reading program called Six Minute Solution. New software programs for Accelerated Reading and Accelerated Math has been purchased and allows for parents to monitor progress from a home computer. A new software program has also been added which allows for parents to monitor lunch accounts from a home computer.

During the 10 - 11 school year, all certified and support staff met the definition of highly qualified as defined in No Child Left Behind. The Olweus Anti-Bullying Program continued with activities including Red Ribbon Week, recognition of students for making a positive difference through a "Be A Buddy" program, and a bullying prevention activity once a month. Exploration into the PBIS program has begun. Low class size has been maintained with four sections at all grade levels accept 3<sup>rd</sup> Grade. The average class size is 17 students. Grades 1 – 5 are working on increasing reading fluency using a reading program called Six Minute Solution. Additional site licenses for Accelerated Math have been purchased. The Accelerated Reading program continues and allows for parents to monitor progress from a home computer. A new software reading program has also been added for Special Education students and students in RTI called Lexia. Additional computers and smart boards were added to each of the special education rooms. Each of the 5<sup>th</sup> Grade classrooms has a Promethean Board. The elementary now has wireless capability.

During the 11 - 12 school year, all certified and support staff met the definition of highly qualified as defined in No Child Left Behind. The Positive Behavior Intervention Program has been implemented replacing the Olweus Program. Low class size has been maintained with four with an average class size is 17.7 students. Additional site licenses for Accelerated Math have been purchased. The Accelerated Reading program continues and allows for parents to monitor progress from a home computer. A software reading program has also been added for Special Education students and students in RTI called Lexia and some of the older students are working with a program called Reading Plus.. New 2012 edition math books were purchased for the 3<sup>rd</sup> and 4<sup>th</sup> Grades.

#### II.5 PERCEPTION DATA

#### **TABLE 12:**

#### PARENT SURVEY FALL 2011

170 surveys returned: This was the second year that the elementary survey was done on line. This survey was only available during the scheduled conference times.

| Home computer with                                    | 84% yes  |  |  |  |  |
|---|--|--|--|--|--|
|   |  | 16% no                                 |  |  |  |
| Attended one of the following events in the past year |  |  |  |  |  |
|   | Open House/School Kick Off Movie Night Music Concerts P/T Conferences Donuts with Dad's Muffins with Mom's | 81%<br>19%<br>49%<br>99%<br>19%<br>48% |  |  |  |
|   | PTC meeting  | 9%                                     |  |  |  |
|   | Other Special Activity   | 24%                                    |  |  |  |
| Anti-Bullying program                                 | 82% yes<br>17% no  |  |  |  |  |
| Confident helping wi                                  | 97% yes<br>2% no   |  |  |  |  |
| Confident helping wi                                  | 99% yes<br>1% no   |  |  |  |  |
| Child feel safe on the                                | 91% yes<br>8% no   |  |  |  |  |
| Communication tools                                   |  |  |  |  |  |
|   | Skyward<br>Homework Hotline<br>Connect Ed  | 52%<br>26%<br>86%                      |  |  |  |
| Adequate opportunity                                  | 97% yes<br>2% no   |  |  |  |  |

#### **Observations in 2011 – 2012**

- There were 170 surveys returned in the fall of 2011 compared to 209 in the fall of 2010. This is the second year that surveys were done online.
- 170 surveys were returned from 291 families (58%) at the Fall 2011 conferences.
- 209 surveys were returned from 299 families (70%) at the Fall 2010 conferences.

- There was a 6% point increase of parents reporting that they do have internet access at home, to 84%.
- 70% of families reported attending the Open House/School Kick Off Night which is consistent with the prior year.
- There was a 1% points decrease to 82% of parents indicating that the anti bullying program is being effective.
- There was a 4% points increase of parents indicating that their students felt safe on the bus, from 87% in the fall of 2010 to 91 % in the fall of 2011.
- 8 percent of the surveys indicated concerns about their child riding the bus.
- Connect Ed is an important part of our communication system with parents according to 86% of our parents. Skyward was second with 52% of our parents.
- Only 26% of our families reported using Homework Hotline.
- 97% of the surveys completed stated adequate communication occurred between the school and home.
- Kindergarten parents would not have been exposed to some of the events unless they have older siblings in the elementary; same is true for families that are new to the district.

#### **Table 13:**

# **2011 – 2012 Staff Survey**

48 of 71 Surveys returned, including associates, cooks, custodians, secretaries, teachers

| 48 of 71 Surveys returned, including associates, cooks, custodians, secretaries, teachers                                   |                   |       |          |          |                      |                   |
|---|-------------------|-------|----------|----------|----------------------|-------------------|
|   | Strongly<br>Agree | Agree | Not Sure | Disagree | Strongly<br>Disagree | Does Not<br>Apply |
| The teacher in-service provides beneficial information.   | 15%               | 52%   | 4%       | 2%       | 2%                   | 23%               |
| Family involvement activities are scheduled to allow working parents to participate.  | 27%               | 54%   | 6%       | 2%       |                      | 8%                |
| The school is safe for students and staff.  | 40%               | 46%   | 4%       | 2%       | 4%                   | 2%                |
| The school has security procedures that are consistently followed (i.e. volunteer sign in, locked building, name tags, etc) | 31%               | 46%   | 10%      | 6%       |                      | 4%                |
| The PBIS Program has been effective in our school.  | 44%               | 42%   | 2%       |          |                      | 8%                |
| The facilities are clean.   | 42%               | 52%   |          |          | 2%                   | 2%                |
| The schools furniture and equipment is in good working condition.   | 10%               | 67%   | 6%       | 13%      |                      | 2%                |
| Accelerated Reader is a valuable component in the curriculum.   | 33%               | 38%   | 10%      | 2%       |                      | 15%               |
| Accelerated Math is a valuable component in the curriculum.   | 29%               | 35%   | 8%       | 4%       | 2%                   | 19%               |
| The school has a consistent writing program in place.   | 4%                | 31%   | 19%      | 25%      |                      | 19%               |
| The Library offers sufficient reading materials to meet the needs of the students.  | 23%               | 56%   | 2%       | 6%       |                      | 10%               |
| My classroom library offers sufficient reading materials to meet the needs of the students.                                 | 13%               | 42%   | 4%       | 8%       |                      | 31%               |
| The schools computer facilities are kept updated with the latest technology.  | 31%               | 42%   | 13%      | 4%       |                      | 8%                |
| There is good quality and frequency of communication between the school and parents.  | 29%               | 58%   | 6%       | 2%       |                      | 2%                |
| I am aware of the school's discipline policies and procedures.  | 33%               | 54%   |          | 2%       |                      | 8%                |
| A refresher professional development opportunity in 4 Block or Balanced Literacy Instruction is needed.                     | 4%                | 27%   | 29%      | 8%       |                      | 29%               |

#### Observations from the 2011-2012 Staff Survey

- In 11-12, 68% (48 of 71), staff surveys were returned compared to 77% from the preceding year.
- In 11-12, 81% of staff agrees that parents have a variety of opportunities to become involved...
- In 11-12, 86% of staff thinks the school is safe for students and staff, a decrease of 6 percentage points from the preceding year.
- In 11-12, 77% of the staff feels that the school has security procedures that are consistently followed, a decrease of 11 percentage points from the preceding year.
- In 11-12. 86% of staff feels the PBIS Program has been effective, an increase from 40% in the 10/11 school year that rated the effectiveness of the Olweus Anti-Bully Program.
- In 11-12, 86% of the staff for which this is applicable, find that the teacher in-services were beneficial. This is compared to 94% in the preceding year.
- In 11-12, 77% of staff feels the furniture and equipment is in good working order, a decrease by 7 percentage points from the preceding year.
- In 11-12, 71% of staff reports that Accelerated Reader is a valuable component in the curriculum, a decrease by 4 percentage points from the previous year.
- In 11-12, 64% of staff reports that Accelerated Math is an effective component in the curriculum, an increase of 2 percentage points from the prior year..
- In 11-12, 80% of the staff believes the computer facilities are kept updated with the latest technology. This is similar to the percentage from the previous year.
- In 11-12, of whom it is applicable, 79% of staff thinks their classroom libraries offer sufficient reading materials to meet the needs of the students, a decrease by 5 percentage points from the preceding year.
- In 11-12, 89% of the staff believes there is a good quality and frequency of communication between school and parents, an increase of 7 percentage points from the preceding year.
- In 11-12, 44% of the staff indicated that additional professional development in balanced literacy is needed. 41% of the staff indicated that it is not needed.
- In 11-12, 84% of the staff reports they are aware of the schools discipline policies and procedures, similar to the percentage from the preceding year.
- In 11-12, 94% of the staff agree or strongly agree that the facilities are clean.
- In 11-12, 25% of the staff believe that there is a consistent writing program in place.

### 2011-2012

# III. PROBLEM STATEMENTS AND HYPOTHESES Table 14:

#### **Patterns of Strengths and Problems**

|    | Patterns of Strengths  | Bullet Data Upon Which Conclusion is Drawn    |
|----|--|---|
| 1. | West Central Elementary School was designated                  | Illinois State Board of Education             |
|    | for 2009-2010, and 2010-2011 school years as a                 | Illinois Interactive Report Card              |
|    | Spotlight School.  | _   |
| 2. | On the 2011 ISAT, four of the six tested averages              | Table 3 – District ISAT                       |
|    | in reading and math exceeded the 85% in each                   | Table 4A – School ISAT                        |
|    | NCLB subject area. 88% of student's                            | Illinois State Board of Education Report Card |
|    | met/exceeded state standards in NCLB tested areas              | Illinois Interactive Report Card              |
|    | in 2011 compared to 86% in 2010. The school has                | _   |
|    | exceeded the state average for 6 consecutive years.            |   |
| 3. | On the 2011 ISAT, 93.5% of all students in Grades              | Table 3 – District ISAT                       |
|    | 3-5 met or exceeded in math. All three grades                  | Table 4A – School ISAT                        |
|    | tested exceeded the state average. The number of               | Illinois State Board of Education Report Card |
|    | students that met/exceeded was consistent with the             |   |
|    | percentage points from the previous year.                      |   |
| 4. | All 4 <sup>th</sup> Grade students met or exceeded on the 2011 | Table 3 – District ISAT                       |
|    | ISAT Math Test.  | Table 4A – School ISAT                        |
| 5. | On the ISAT, there was an increase in the                      | Table 4B –Special Education and Sub-groups    |
|    | percentage of Special Education students                       |   |
|    | meeting/exceeding standards for each of the last               |   |
|    | five years:  |   |

| 6. Between 73% - 89% of each level at 3 <sup>rd</sup> , 4 <sup>th</sup> and 5 <sup>th</sup> | Table 3 – District ISAT                        |
|---|--|
| Grade met/exceeded standards on the 2011 ISAT in  | Table 4A – School ISAT                         |
| Reading.  |  |
| 7. 50% of the staff development activities provided to                                      | Table 10 – Staff Development                   |
| staff were identified in the current 10-11 school   | •  |
| improvement plan.   |  |
| 8. 170 parent surveys were completed at the Fall  | Table 11 – Parent Survey                       |
| Parent Teacher Conferences.   |  |
| 9. 87% of our students had at least one   | Statistics gathered from sign in sheets by the |
| parent/guardian attend parent/teacher conferences   | classroom teachers                             |
| in the Fall of 2011. That improved by 5% points   | Classicon teachers                             |
| from the preceding year.  |  |
| 10. The newly implemented PBIS Program has been a   | Table 10 – Parent Survey-comments              |
|   |  |
| positive addition to the elementary curriculum.   | Table 11 – Staff Survey                        |
| 11. Of the twenty one classrooms in the elementary, the                                     | Table 6 – Fall Housing Report                  |
| class average is 18 students per classroom.   | m 11 0   |
| 12. A variety of Fine Arts programs are offered. This                                       | Table 9  |
| includes daily Physical Education, weekly lessons   | Curriculum Implementation Data                 |
| in Art and General Music, and 5 <sup>th</sup> Graders are                                   |  |
| allowed to participate in Band as an elective.  |  |
| 13. Based on the Fall 2011 Star Reading Assessment,   | Table 4H – Star Reading Assessment             |
| Grades 1-5 have a class average slightly higher than  |  |
| the National Average.   |  |
| 14. Based on the Spring 2011 Star Reading   | Table 4I – Star Reading Assessment             |
| Assessment, Grades 1-4 have a class average   |  |
| higher than the national average at the end of the  |  |
| school year. These percentages range from 4% to   |  |
| 17% over the national average.  |  |
| 15. Fluency scores as measured by the ISEL  | Table 4G – ISEL Reading Assessment             |
| Assessment have increased each year since 2005 in   |  |
| Grades 1 and 2.   |  |
| 16. 97% of our parents/guardians stated that  | Table 11 – 2011 Parent Survey                  |
| communication between school and home was   |  |
| adequate.   |  |
| 17. 73% of the school staff believe that the school   | Table 12 – 2011 Staff Survey                   |
| computer lab is kept updated with the latest  | ·  |
| technology.   |  |
| 18. Over the past 6 years, the average daily attendance                                     | Table 5 – General School Data                  |
| rate has been over 95%.   |  |
| 20. On the ISAT, 4 <sup>th</sup> Grade Female students have                                 | Table 4D - ISAT Gender Groups                  |
| exceeded the female state average in reading, math,   | <b>r</b> .                                     |
| and science for 6 consecutive years. 5 <sup>th</sup> Grade                                  |  |
| female students have exceeded the state average for   |  |
| meeting or exceeding in math for 6 consecutive  |  |
| years.  |  |
| 19. On the ISAT, 4 <sup>th</sup> Grade male students have                                   | Table 4E – ISAT Gender Groups                  |
| exceeded the male state average in math and   |  |
| science for 6 consecutive years. 5 <sup>th</sup> Grade male                                 |  |
| students have exceeded the state average for  |  |
| meeting or exceeding in math for 6 consecutive  |  |
| years.  |  |
| -   |  |

| Patterns of Problems  | Bullet Data Upon Which Conclusion is Drawn   |
|---|--|
| 1. The five year average for boy's reading scores on the 3 <sup>rd</sup> Grade ISAT is 6 percentage points below the state average. In four of the past six years the boys have scored below the state average.   | Table 4E – ISAT Gender Subgroup Scores Male  |
| 2. There is a need for additional updated technology available in the classrooms: Teacher and student work stations. Additional I Pads. Additional Promethean Boards.   | Perception Data – Staff discussions during staff meetings and as documented by the January 2012 SIP data walk day.   |
| 3. In Spring, the 2013 ISAT AYP benchmark to meet the No Child Left Behind requirements is at 92.5% of our students meeting in reading. On the 2010 ISAT assessment 81.7% of our students met or exceeded. On the 2011 ISAT assessment 79.9% of our students met or exceeded.   | Illinois State Board of Education Table 3 – District ISAT Table 4A – School ISAT   |
| 4. In Spring, the 2012 ISAT AYP benchmark to meet the No Child Left Behind requirements moves to 92.5% of our students meeting in math. On the 2010 ISAT assessment 93.6% of our students met or exceeded. On the 2011 ISAT assessment 93.5% of our students met or exceeded. Our challenge will be to maintain or improve upon the 2010 and 2011 scores.   | Illinois State Board of Education Table 3 – District ISAT Table 4A – School ISAT   |
| 5. According to the 2011 ISAT test performance, low income students' scores were lower than non-low income students' scores in four of the six No Child Left Behind tested areas. Those areas included: 3 <sup>rd</sup> grade reading and math, 4 <sup>th</sup> grade reading, and 5 <sup>th</sup> grade math. 5 <sup>th</sup> Grade reading was the tested area that low income students exceeded non-low income. All 4 <sup>th</sup> Grade students met or exceeded 4 <sup>th</sup> Grade Math. | Table 4B – ISAT Special Education and Sub-groups   |
| 6. In Spring, the 2013 ISAT AYP benchmark to meet the No Child Left Behind requirements stays at 92.5% of our students meeting in reading. On the 2010 ISAT assessment 76.9% of our low income students met or exceeded in reading. On the 2011 ISAT assessment 77.3% of our low income students met or exceeded in reading.  | Illinois State Board of Education Table 4B – ISAT Special Education and Sub-groups   |
| 7. In Spring, the 2012 ISAT AYP benchmark to meet the No Child Left Behind requirements moves to 92.5% of our students meeting or exceeding in math. On the 2010 ISAT assessment 92.3% of our low income students met or exceeded in math. On the 2011 ISAT assessment 90.7% of our low income students met or exceeded in math.  | Illinois State Board of Education Table 4B – ISAT Special Education and Sub-groups   |
| 8. On the 2011 ISAT, students in 3 <sup>rd</sup> , 4 <sup>th</sup> and 5 <sup>th</sup> Grades had 10% fewer male students meet/exceed in Reading when compared to female students On the 2010 ISAT, students in 3 <sup>rd</sup> , 4 <sup>th</sup> and 5 <sup>th</sup> Grades had 11% fewer male students meet/exceed in Reading when compared to female students.   | Table 4C – ISAT Gender Sub-groups  |
| 9. General observations made by an elementary reading consultant was that our lowest scoring area on the ISAT Reading was the extended response portion of the assessment.  | Elementary ISAT reading analysis conducted by Roni Heller-Ohara during the 2010-2011 school year. This two year trend was observed on the 2010 ISAT as well as the 2011 ISAT. ISAT analysis by Sip Team. |
| 10. Low income students miss a higher percentage of school than non-low income students   | 2009-2010 school end of the year attendance records (Low income student made up 54% of the student population yet accounted for 64% of the absences.)  |

| 11 The mobility rate has increased during the past three years from 8% to 12%.  | Table 5 – General School Data                           |
|---|---|
| 12. Student enrollment has decreased by 71 students over the past 6 years.  | Table 5 – General School Data Table 6 – Enrollment Data |
| 13. Star Reading assessments show a decrease in student scores from 1 <sup>st</sup> and 2 <sup>nd</sup> Grades from Spring to Fall as they move to the next grade. (over the summer). | Table 4H – Star Reading Assessment Grade Placement      |
| 14. There was a decrease in the percentage of students that met the AIMSweb Fluency Assessment in Grades 1,2, and 3 when comparing the Fall of 2011 to the Fall of 2010.              | Table 4J – AIMSweb Fluency                              |
| 15. 16% of the 170 Parent Surveys that were completed in the Fall of 2011 indicated that a home computer with internet access was not available to our students.                      | Table 11 – Parent Survey                                |
| 16. 36% of the staff believes that there is a consistent writing program in place.  | Table 12 – Staff Survey                                 |

### **Table 15:**

summer break.

reading strategies.

Our parents need addition support in

### **Problem Statements**

yearly AYP requirements as defined by No Child Left Behind in Reading. **Data Source Data Source Data Source Data Source Priority Hypotheses** 1 3 4 We have a need for additional training on teaching Reading strategies focusing Table 4A Table 4B Table 4C Table 4D on comprehension and extended Table 4G Table 4H response. Percentage wise male students do not score as well as female students on ISAT Table 4C Table 4D Table 4E Table 4F or report cards. We need to increase the use of Staff interactive technology to engage Observations students in the learning process. Students lose reading skills during the Table 4H Table 4J

**Problem Statement #1:** The goal in 2013 is that 92.5% of students (goal of 2012 was that 92.5%) will meet or exceed on the Illinois Standards Achievement Test in reading. We did not meet the 2011

West Central Elementary Page 41

Staff

Observations

<u>Problem Statement #2:</u> The goal in 2013 is that 92.5% of students (goal of 2012 was that 92.5%) will meet or exceed on the Illinois Standards Achievement Test in Math. We have met or exceeded current yearly AYP requirements as defined by <u>No Child Left Behind</u>. Our goal is to maintain or improve the Illinois Standards Achievement Test scores in Math.

| Duionity Hymothogog  | Data Source  | Data Source         | Data Source                             | Data Source |
|--|--------------|---------------------|---|-------------|
| Priority Hypotheses  | 1            | 2                   | 3                                       | 4           |
| We are using different copyright years                             |              |                     |   |             |
| of a Math series which causes an                                   | Staff        | Curriculum          | Math Audit                              |             |
| alignment issue within the Math curriculum.                        | Observations | Implementation      | 111111111111111111111111111111111111111 |             |
| We need additional professional                                    |              |                     |   |             |
| development opportunities in                                       |              | G 00 G              |   |             |
| providing Math instruction related to                              | Math Audit   | Staff Survey        |   |             |
| the state standards and math extended response questions           |              |                     |   |             |
| We need additional technology to be                                |              |                     |   |             |
| available in the classroom to support                              | Staff        | 3.6.1.4.10          |   |             |
| providing Math instruction that would                              | Observations | Math Audit          |   |             |
| emphasize student interactive learning.                            |              |                     |   |             |
| We need to identify areas of deficiency                            |              |                     |   |             |
| from ISAT test results concerning                                  | 2010         |                     | Individual                              |             |
| student performance, and target                                    | 2011         | Table 3             | Student ISAT                            |             |
| instruction.   | ISAT scores  |                     | Scores                                  |             |
| We need additional staff development                               |              |                     | Individual                              |             |
| on differentiated instruction to meet                              | Staff Survey | Table 4             | Student ISAT                            |             |
| the needs of our higher performing students.                       |              | Tuoto :             | Scores                                  |             |
| We need to explore software programs                               |              |                     |   |             |
| that would assist individuals with skills and monitor improvement. | Table 4A     | RTI<br>observations |   |             |

| <b>Problem Statement #3:</b> Students that are experiencing behavioral difficulties are missing academic instruction. |                              |                              |                  |                  |  |  |  |
|---|------------------------------|------------------------------|------------------|------------------|--|--|--|
| Priority Hypotheses   | Data Source<br>1             | Data Source<br>2             | Data Source<br>3 | Data Source<br>4 |  |  |  |
| Some individual students need additional emotional and social skills support.   | Staff Survey<br>Observations |                              |                  |                  |  |  |  |
| Some staff lack the skills to deal with specific emotional and social issues.   | Staff Survey                 | TAT Referrals                | Office Referrals |                  |  |  |  |
| Some students are having continual disciplinary issues on the school buses.   | Parent Survey                | Staff Survey<br>Observations | Swis             |                  |  |  |  |

# IV. GOALS, STRATEGIES, INTEGRATED ACTION PLAN Table 16:

## **Improvement Goals**

**IMPROVEMENT GOAL #1a:** To improve the percentage of students that meet/exceed on the ISAT in Reading to 92.5% for the 12 – 13 school year

CURRENT CONDITIONS and DATA SOURCE: On the 2011 ISAT, 79.9% of all our students met or exceeded in Reading. Table 3A, Table 4A, Table 4B

SPECIFIC ACTIONS: To monitor and improve Reading instruction through data acquired from AIMSweb, ISAT, Star Early Literacy, and STAR reading assessments

| Specific Steps  | Timeline              | Person/<br>Group<br>Responsible | Cost<br>Funding<br>Source                               | Evaluation  |
|---|-----------------------|---------------------------------|---|---|
| Provide reward to students that successfully meet the Henderson County summer reading goals program.  | August 2012           | Principal                       | Office<br>\$100   | Number of participants  |
| To collect data on summer reading use at the Henderson County Library and measure maintenance of reading skills with the Star Reading Assessment focusing on students in 1 <sup>st</sup> and 2 <sup>nd</sup> Grade. | September 2012        | Principal                       | No cost   | Evaluate Star Reading scores in Fall of 2012 and compare participants versus non-participant scores |
| Assess students early during the first semester with AIMSweb,and ISEL   | August/September 2012 | Title Teachers                  | District &<br>Special<br>Education<br>CO OP             | Title   |
| Assess students early during the first semester Star reading assessments  | August/September 2012 | Teachers                        | District<br>\$445.50                                    | Classroom<br>Teachers   |
| AIMSweb and Star Reading<br>Assessments during December   | December<br>2012      | Title Teachers                  | District & Special Education CO OP                      | Title   |
| Administer ISAT   | March<br>2013         | Teachers                        | State   | Completed   |
| AIMSweb and Star Reading, ISEL, Star<br>Early Literacy Assessments during<br>April/May  | April/May<br>2013     | Title Teachers                  | District &<br>Special<br>Education<br>CO OP<br>\$544.50 | Title   |
| Research reading interventions primarily in the area of comprehension and purchase  | 12-13                 | Principal<br>Title Teachers     | \$1000  | Principal   |
| To maintain the afterschool tutoring provided to 4 <sup>th</sup> and 5 <sup>th</sup> Grade students   | 12-13                 | Principal                       | ?   | Principal<br>Staff  |
| Analyze student assessment to identify students that did not meet assessment standards and plan appropriate remediation strategies  | 12-13                 | Staff                           | None  | SIP Team<br>End of year<br>assessment data  |

**IMPROVEMENT GOAL #1b:** To improve the percentage of students that meet/exceed on the ISAT in Reading to 92.5% for the 11 – 12 school year

CURRENT CONDITIONS and DATA SOURCE: On the 2011 ISAT, 79.9% of all our students met or exceeded in Reading.

**SPECIFIC ACTIONS:** To provide professional development programs related to

improving student achievement in reading

| Specific Steps   | Timeline           | Person/<br>Group<br>Responsible     | Cost<br>Funding<br>Source | Evaluation                         |
|--|--------------------|-------------------------------------|---------------------------|------------------------------------|
| In-service staff on how to use local assessment data to improve instruction and student learning   | 2012-2013          | District<br>Consultants<br>SIP Team | None                      | Workshop<br>Evaluation             |
| To provide workshop related to ISAT and extended response test preparation                         | November 2012-2013 | SIP Team<br>Principal               | Title I<br>\$1000         | Workshop<br>Evaluation             |
| To attend workshops related to ISAT test preparation sponsored by the Regional Office of Education | 2012-2013          | ROE                                 | Title I<br>\$300          | Number of<br>workshops<br>attended |
| To subscribe to International Reading Association  | 2012-2013          | Library                             | Title I<br>\$29           | Subscription purchased             |
| To subscribe to Illinois Reading<br>Association Council  | 2012-2013          | Library                             | Title I<br>\$40           | Subscription purchased             |
| To subscribe to Reading A-Z for online use   | 2012-2013          | Library                             | Title I<br>\$84.95        | Subscription purchased             |
| Monitor progress of implementation and evaluate activity   | 2012-2013          | Principal<br>SIP Team               | None                      | SIP Team                           |

<u>IMPROVEMENT GOAL #2a:</u> To improve and maintain the percentage of students (including low income) that meet/exceed on the ISAT in Math to 92.5% for the 11-12 school year

CURRENT CONDITIONS and DATA SOURCE: On the 2011 ISAT, 93.5% of all our students met or exceeded in Math. Table 3A, Table 4A, Table 4B

**SPECIFIC ACTIONS:** To increase the math resources available to students.

| Specific Steps   | Timeline  | Person/<br>Group<br>Responsible | Cost<br>Funding<br>Source | Evaluation            |
|--|-----------|---------------------------------|---------------------------|-----------------------|
| We need additional technology to be available in the classroom to support providing Math instruction that would emphasize student interactive learning. This would include all Elmos being wireless and presentation clickers to upgrade the Elmo. Additional Promethean Boards for each of the 4 <sup>th</sup> Grade classrooms (plans for '13-'14 include adding Promethean Boards in 3 <sup>rd</sup> grade classrooms). | 2012-2013 | Technology<br>Coordinator       | District Funds            | Purchase Order        |
| Star Math be available to students as an enrichment opportunity and to assist with alternative methods of reinforcing basic mathematical concepts  | 2012-2013 | Library                         | Title I<br>\$247.50       | Purchase Order        |
| Purchase of additional software to provide different methods of instruction and interventions  | 2012-2013 | Principal                       | Title I<br>\$270.00       | Purchase Order        |
| Research and possible purchase of a math progress monitoring program   | 2012-2013 | Principal<br>RTI Team           | District Funds            | Purchase Order        |
| Order new Saxon materials for K - 2  | 2012-2013 | Principal                       | District Funds            | Purchase Order        |
| To maintain the afterschool tutoring provided to 4 <sup>th</sup> and 5 <sup>th</sup> Grade students  | 2012-2013 | Principal                       | District Funds            | Principal<br>Teachers |
| Monitor progress of implementation and evaluate activity   | 2012-2013 | Principal<br>SIP Team           | None                      | SIP Team              |

IMPROVEMENT GOAL #2b: To improve and maintain the percentage of students (including low income) that meet/exceed on the ISAT in Math to 92.5% for the 11 – 12 school year

CURRENT CONDITIONS and DATA SOURCE: On the 2011 ISAT, 93.5% of all our students met or exceeded in Math.

SPECIFIC ACTIONS: To provide professional development programs related to improving student achievement in math

| Specific Steps  | Timeline          | Person/<br>Group<br>Responsible                  | Cost<br>Funding<br>Source | Evaluation             |
|---|-------------------|--|---------------------------|------------------------|
| In-service staff on how to use interactive technology such as Promethean Boards, websites, and software to improve instruction and student learning | Fall<br>2012-2013 | Technology<br>Coordinator                        | None                      | Workshop<br>evaluation |
| In-service staff on sources through the internet to improve instruction and student learning  | Fall<br>2012-2013 | SIP Team<br>Teachers<br>Technology<br>Department | District                  | Workshop<br>evaluation |
| To provide workshop related to ISAT and extended response test preparation  | Fall<br>2012-2013 | SIP Team   | Math<br>Consultant        | Workshop<br>evaluation |
| Monitor progress of implementation and evaluate activity  | 2012-2013         | Principal<br>SIP Team                            | None                      | SIP Team               |

IMPROVEMENT Goal #3: To improve the percentage of students (including subgroups) that meet/exceed on the ISAT to 92.5% for the 12 - 13 school year

DATA SOURCE: Table 3A, Table 4A, Table 4B, Table 4C.

SPECIFIC ACTIONS: Increase parental involvement through providing assistance and training in reading and math

| Specific Steps   | Timeline                   | Person/<br>Group<br>Responsible  | Cost<br>Funding<br>Source  | Evaluation  |
|--|----------------------------|----------------------------------|--|---|
| Appoint a committee to plan events   | Prior to<br>August<br>2012 | School<br>Improvement<br>Team    | None   | Dates placed<br>on school<br>calendar   |
| To host an School Kick<br>Off/Curriculum Night to distribute<br>educational hints prior to the start<br>of the 12 - 13 school year<br>Teachers will be encouraged to<br>have curriculum packets available<br>for parents | August<br>2012             | Teacher<br>Committee             | Title I Parental<br>Involvement<br>Funds<br>\$500                | Comparison of<br>attendance data<br>to 11 - 12<br>school year                               |
| Increase the percentage of parents that fill out an elementary parent survey at the fall Parent /Teacher Conference  | October<br>2012            | Survey<br>Committee              | Title I Parental<br>Involvement<br>Funds<br>\$100                | Comparison of<br>number of<br>surveys<br>completed<br>compared to 11<br>- 12 school<br>year |
| Muffins with Mom to discuss reading Donuts with Dad to discuss reading   | First Semester             | Title I<br>Principal<br>SIP Team | Title I Parental<br>Involvement<br>Funds<br>\$200 per<br>program | Comparison of attendance data to 11 – 12 school year  |
| Family Movie Literacy Night to provide a school to community connection  | Second<br>Semester         | Teacher<br>Committee             | Title I Parental<br>Involvement<br>Funds<br>\$400                | Comparison of attendance data to 11 - 12 school year  |
| Monitor progress of implementation and evaluate activity   | 12 - 13                    | SIP Team                         | None   | Evaluation of each activity   |

<u>IMPROVEMENT GOAL #4:</u> To improve student's self esteem and increase student success during the 2012-2013 school year.

CURRENT CONDITIONS and DATA SOURCE: Parent survey, Table 4A, and Table 4B

SPECIFIC ACTIONS: To provide professional development programs and activities related to the implementation of the PBIS Program.

| Specific Steps   | Timeline             | Person/<br>Group<br>Responsible | Cost<br>Funding<br>Source | Evaluation   |
|--|----------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------|--|
| Provide additional training for the staff regarding PBIS   | 12-13 School<br>Year | PBIS Team                       | District                  | Professional<br>Development<br>Completed                                       |
| In-Service all elementary staff including teachers, associates, cooks, bus drivers   | August 2012          | PBIS Team                       | District                  | Professional<br>Development<br>Completed                                       |
| Review and revise positive rules matrix  | August 2012          | PBIS Team                       | District                  | Workshop   |
| Continue Implementing Incentive<br>Program   | August 2012          | PBIS Team                       | District                  | End of year<br>Evaluation by<br>PBIS Team                                      |
| Continue implementing the character educational component of PBIS  | Monthly              | Classroom<br>Teachers           | District                  | End of year<br>Evaluation by<br>PBIS Team                                      |
| Continue month student recognition assembly  | Monthly              | PBIS Team                       | District                  | PBIS<br>Paperwork  |
| Monitor student discipline referrals with Swis Software Program  | Monthly              | PBIS Team                       | No cost                   | End of the year<br>review of data<br>and comparison<br>to 11-12 school<br>year |
| Monitor progress of implementation<br>and evaluate activities through<br>student, staff, and parent surveys as<br>well as Swis data detailing referral<br>numbers and types. | May 2013             | PBIS Team                       | None                      | PBIS Minutes   |

| Activity  | Month<br>Year                                | Grade<br>Level | # of<br>Anticipated<br>Participant | School-<br>wide<br>Yes/No | Format                                    |
|---|--|----------------|------------------------------------|---------------------------|---|
| Complete end of year ISEL,<br>AIMSweb, Star Reading<br>assessments  | May<br>2012                                  | K - 5          |                                    | Yes                       | Grade Level<br>Teams                      |
| Order of new Saxon Math refill<br>kits grades K-2 (aligned to<br>Common Core)   | Summer<br>2012                               | 3 - 4          |                                    | No                        | Purchase<br>Order                         |
| Purchase membership in Illinois<br>Reading Council and International<br>Reading Association   | Summer<br>2012                               | K - 5          |                                    | Yes                       | Purchase<br>Order                         |
| Purchase subscription to A-Z<br>Reading   | Summer<br>2012                               | 4              |                                    | No                        | Purchase<br>Order                         |
| Provide incentive for students to participate in the summer reading programs at the Henderson County Library  | August<br>2012                               | K - 5          |                                    | Yes                       | Henderson<br>County<br>Library<br>Program |
| Open House/School Kick Off  | August<br>2012                               | K - 5          | 400                                | Yes                       | Evening                                   |
| To collect data on summer reading use of the libraries, specifically 1 <sup>st</sup> and 2 <sup>nd</sup> Grade and compare Fall Star Reading results to overall class averages. | 1 <sup>st</sup> Semester<br>2012 – 2013      | 1 - 2          |                                    | Yes                       | Assessment                                |
| Complete beginning and end of<br>year ISEL, AIMSweb, Star<br>Reading assessments  | August/September<br>April/May<br>2012 - 2013 | K - 5          | Students                           | Yes                       | Assessment                                |
| Monitor use of Accelerated Math and Star Math software  | 2012 – 2013                                  | K - 5          |                                    | Yes                       | Reports                                   |
| Purchase of additional supplies in technology   | 2012 – 2013                                  | K - 5          |                                    | Yes                       | Purchase<br>Order                         |
| Provide time for staff to identify<br>and work on areas identified for<br>improvement from the ISAT   | 2012 – 2013                                  | 2 - 5          |                                    | No                        | Schedule                                  |
| Training in use of technology   | 2012 – 2013                                  | K - 5          |                                    | Yes                       | In-service                                |
| Increase the percentage of parents<br>that fill out an elementary parent<br>survey at the fall Parent /Teacher<br>Conference  | Fall<br>2012                                 | K - 5          |                                    | Yes                       | Comparison<br>to 11-12<br>school year     |
| Muffins with Mom to discuss Reading Donuts with Dad to discuss Reading  | Fall<br>2012                                 | K - 2          | Parents                            | No                        | Mornings                                  |
| Family Movie Night  | March<br>2013                                | K - 5          | Families                           | Yes                       | Evening                                   |
| Research reading intervention primarily in the area of comprehension  | 2012-2013                                    | K - 5          | Students                           | Yes                       | Purchase<br>order                         |
| Analyze student district assessments and plan individual strategies   | Fall/Winter/Spring<br>2012-2013              | K - 5          | Students                           | Yes                       | Data Reports                              |
| Research and possibly purchase a math progress monitoring tool for RtI purchases  | 2012-2013                                    | K - 5          | Students                           | Yes                       | Purchase<br>order                         |

Table 18: 2011-2012

**Professional Development Activities** 

| 11010  | ssional Develo             | ment Ac        | LIVILLO                            | ı                         | l .                         |
|--|----------------------------|----------------|------------------------------------|---------------------------|-----------------------------|
| Activity   | Month<br>Year              | Grade<br>Level | # of<br>Anticipated<br>Participant | School-<br>wide<br>Yes/No | Format                      |
| In-service staff on using student data to drive instruction  | August 2012                | K – 5          |                                    | Yes                       | Staff Meeting               |
| Continued support for those staff pursuing Nation Board Certification  | 2012-2013                  | K – 5          |                                    | No                        | Professional<br>Development |
| PBIS Training for new staff  | 1/2 SIP Day<br>August 2012 | K - 5          |                                    | Yes                       | In-service                  |
| In-service staff on Tier 1 and Tier 2 strategies for RTI within the classroom  | August 2012                | K – 5          |                                    | Yes                       | In-service                  |
| Review of ISAT Data from 11-12<br>assessment with individual scores<br>being reviewed and individual<br>plans for those students needing<br>assistance being developed | August 2012                | K - 5          |                                    | Yes                       | In-service                  |
| Software Technology Update   | August 2012                | K - 5          |                                    | Yes                       | In-service                  |
| ISAT Reading and Extended<br>Reading Response Workshop   | 2012                       | 2 - 5          |                                    | No                        | In-service                  |
| ISAT Math and extended response  | 2012                       | K - 5          |                                    | Yes                       | In-service                  |
| Assessment workshop and Gallery<br>Walk of elementary data   | January 2012               | K - 5          |                                    | Yes                       | In-service                  |
| ISAT Workshops through ROE   | 2012 - 2013                | 3 - 5          |                                    | No                        | Workshops                   |
| In-service on use of technology in<br>the classroom (Promethean<br>Boards, Elmo, etc)  | 2012 - 2013                | K - 5          |                                    | No                        | In-service                  |
| Interactive Math Workshop  | Fall 2012                  | K-5            |                                    | Yes                       | In-service                  |
| District training on the Danielson model   | 2012-2013                  | K - 5          |                                    | Yes                       | In-service                  |

## V. REFLECTION, EVALUATION, REFINEMENT

### V.1 SCHOOL IMPROVEMENT TEAM MEETING SCHEDULE

o Will meet monthly on the first and third Monday of each month to discuss our progress.

### V.2 MONITORING

O To monitor the progress on our goals throughout the year, we will utilize a quarterly progress report that has been created for this purpose. It includes a checklist to help us as we progress through the year.

### V.3 COMMUNICATION PLAN

- Have copies of School Improvement Plan available at registration, plus a folder/flyer stating schools strengths and goals
- o Regular conferences (one each semester) with students, teachers, and adult family members organized around a review of student work and academic progress
- Monthly newsletters
- o Post School Improvement Plan and progress report on the school website